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1 Summary/Zusammenfassung

1.1 Summary

The potato plant (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is, due to its origin from cool mountainous regions, very sensitive to elevated temperatures. As temperatures are increasing due to global warming this has already led to tremendous yield losses. The aim of this work was to gain insights into the regulation of potato tuberization, especially under abiotic stresses. Moreover novel potato plants should be created which could withstand better the challenges of climate change, in particular heat and drought.

In a first approach, transgenic potato plants were generated and characterized. Overexpression of the key tuberization regulator *SP6A* (a *FLOWERING LOCUS T* homologue) should provide insights into the control of source-sink balance. Therefore, under control of a strong promoter a codon-usage optimized version of *SP6A* (*SP6A*cop-HA) was used to achieve strong expression of the transcript. This resulted in extremely early tuberization but a concurrently impaired shoot growth indicating a strong impact of SP6A on the source-sink balance. These transgenic plants tuberized extremely early, but concurrently exhibited an impaired shoot growth. Additionally, transgenic tubers formed daughter tubers from stored tubers suggesting that the meristem identity of dormant tuber buds was altered. To further unravel the underlying mechanism a transcript profiling experiment was conducted with dormant tuber buds from both wild type and transgenic tubers. Comparative data analysis revealed a differential expression of several transcription factors controlling meristem identity, which supports the findings.

Motivated by the severity of the phenotype of *SP6A*cop-HA overexpressing plants, an *in silico* search for putative small regulatory RNAs was performed. This led to the identification of a small RNA, named *SES*, repressing *SP6A* transcript accumulation in a sequence-specific manner, especially under elevated temperatures. The gained knowledge was used to design a construct that abolishes the activity of *SES* by providing an artificial target sequence, referred to as short tandem target mimicry (STTM), in excess. This construct was transformed in potato. The resulting plants did not show severe morphologic alterations but tuber formation was maintained even under continuous treatment with elevated temperatures.

In a second approach, it was tested whether the combined expression of two targets will result in improved heat and drought tolerance of potato plants. First *SP6A* was chosen for
overexpression under control of the StLS1 promoter. This was thought to result in a less extreme phenotype but in an improved tuberization under both control and heat. Secondly, transpiration rates should be reduced by a guard-cell specific overexpression of *A. thaliana Hexokinase1* (*AtHXK1*), since high water transpiration is becoming an agricultural problem in the near future due to climate change. Successful regulation of water loss by *AtHXK1* expression was shown previously for other plants like *A. thaliana*, citrus and tomato. The combined expression of these two genes in transgenic potato plants (named HXK+SP6A) resulted in reduced transpiration rates under ambient as well as drought and heat conditions. Measurements of ABA, and proline contents as well as of an ABA responsive proline biosynthesis gene indicated a reduced drought stress in the transgenic lines as compared to the WT. This was not due to impaired ABA signaling as suggested from an ABA floating assay. Most importantly, tuber formation of the transgenic was stable under all stress conditions with no negative impact on starch contents of the tubers, whereas the WT showed less total tuber yields with reduced starch contents.

In the HXK+SP6A potato tubers less induction of cell wall-bound invertase (cwInv) and less repression of sucrose synthase (SUSY) was observed supporting the assumption that more sucrose is available as substrate for starch biosynthesis via SUSY in the amyloplast and less sucrose leaking out of the cell to be hydrolyzed by cwInv. Together this might cause higher starch contents. As a possible explanation an increased supply with assimilates is most likely. To clarify this, physiological and biochemical data were obtained which provide first insights into the molecular mode of action. The transgenic plants were characterized morphologically by thinner but more stems and a repressed axillary bud outgrowth, whereas root growth was enhanced. The stem phenotype suggests less leakage of carbon sources out of the phloem which is supported by esculin loading experiments, explaining a better nourishment of the tuber to the detriment of green biomass production. This might be due to an inhibition of the sucrose exporter SWEET11 by SP6A. Moreover, an accumulation of sugars at the basal stem part might not only promote lateral shoot outgrowth but also root growth. The shift in assimilate partitioning towards root biomass production probably mediated by SP6A-SWEET interaction might, together with overexpression of *AtHXK1*, further contribute to drought stress avoidance.

Together the results gained in this work present a novel strategy for creating new potato plants which are less susceptible to drought and heat and its application can contribute to food security even under the challenges of global climate change.
1.2 Zusammenfassung


In einem zweiten Ansatz wurde getestet, ob die kombinierte Expression von zwei Zielgenen zu einer verbesserten Hitze- und Trockenheitstoleranz von Kartoffelpflanzen führt. Zuerst wurde *SP6A* für die Überexpression unter Kontrolle des StLS1-Promotors ausgewählt. Es

In den HXK+SP6A-Kartoffelknollen wurde eine geringere Induktion von zellwandgebundener Invertase (cwlInv) und eine geringere Hemmung der Saccharosesynthase (SUSY) beobachtet, was die Annahme stützt, dass mehr Saccharose als Substrat für die Stärkebiosynthese mittels SUSY im Amyloplasten zur Verfügung steht und weniger Saccharose aus der Zelle austritt, um durch cwlInv hydrolysiert zu werden. Zusammen kann dies zu höheren Stärkegehalten führen. Als mögliche Erklärung ist eine verbesserte Versorgung mit Assimilaten am wahrscheinlichsten. Um dies zu verdeutlichen, wurden physiologische und biochemische Daten gesammelt, die erste Einblicke in die molekulare Wirkungsweise geben. Die transgenen Pflanzen waren morphologisch durch dünnere, aber mehr Stämme und ein unterdrücktes Auswachsen der Achselknospen gekennzeichnet, während das Wurzelwachstum verstärkt war. Der Stammphänotyp deutet darauf hin, dass weniger Kohlenstoffquellen aus dem Phloem austreten, was durch Esculinbeladungsexperimente unterstützt wird, was eine bessere Ernährung der Knolle zum Nachteil des Aufbaus grüner Biomasse, erklärt. Dies könnte auf eine Hemmung des Saccharoseexporters SWEET11 durch SP6A zurückzuführen sein. Darüber hinaus könnte eine Anreicherung von Zucker am basalen Stammteil nicht nur das Wachstum von Seitensprossen fördern, sondern auch das Wurzelwachstum. Die Verschiebung der Assimilatverteilung in Richtung Wurzelbiomasseproduktion, die wahrscheinlich durch die SP6A-SWEET11-Interaktion vermittelt wird, könnte, zusammen mit dem AtHXK1-Konstrukt,
dazu beitragen die Ernährung auch unter den Bedingungen des Klimawandels sicherzustellen.
2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Potato as important food crop

The potato plant (Solanum tuberosum L.) is a crop plant cultivated for its edible underground storage organs, the potato tubers. Besides other crop plants such as tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), pepper (Capsicum annuum L.), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) and eggplant (Solanum melongena L.) it is an important member of the plant family of Solanaceae also called nightshades. In 2016, more than 350 million tons of tubers were produced worldwide which makes it besides maize (Zea mays L.), rice (Oryza sativa L.), wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and cassava (Manihot esculenta C.) one of the five most important food crops worldwide (http://faostat3.fao.org/). Compared to cereals or root crops potato tubers are of very high nutritional value as they are rich in starch and low in fat but also contain large amounts of vitamins such as B1, B3, B6 and C as well as important amino acids [1]. Thus, potato tubers cannot only fight undernutrition but also nutritional deficiencies of a large amount of people. Facing an increasing world population potato is therefore considered outstanding in terms of food security [2].

Potato originates from Andean regions and was first cultivated around 10,000 B.C. by native people in Southern Peru [3]. After conquest and colonialization by the Spanish in the 16th century and subsequent transfer of tubers to the “Old World”, potato production strongly increased and became an important source of carbohydrates in human nutrition. It was originally favored for its easy cultivation and meal preparation compared to cereal crops commonly used at that time. Furthermore, potato tubers can serve as animal fodder and as raw material for producing starch for industrial purposes, e.g. in the paper and chemical industry [4], synthesizing biodegradable plastic [5] and distilling alcohol as biofuel [6,7]. Therefore, research on this widely used crop might be rewarding for broad fields in agriculture, industry and food supply.

2.2 The source sink concept and its relevance for potato

Storage organ formation is a quite common phenomenon in plants. The ability to develop storage organs out of leaves, stems and root tissues, for example in succulents like Aloe, cactuses and several root crops, has evolved several times independently in earth history. Potato tubers derive from horizontally growing underground lateral shoots called stolons with long internodes and a hook-shaped tip [8,9]. Although stolons have the ability to grow to the surface and form shoots, their predominant function is to spread the habitat of potato
vegetatively as well as to secure a certain number of offsprings in an additional survival strategy besides seeds.

Tubers are in fact the shortened and thickened end part of a stolon. When tuber formation starts, stolons attenuate their longitudinal growth, which is accompanied by a radial cell division in the pith and cortex. Later, this is followed by random cell division and expansion to allow massive growth of the newly formed tuber [10]. This morphological switch is associated with biochemical and structural changes and a switch of phloem unloading in the stolon tip from apoplastic to symplasmic [11]. Simultaneously, activities of sucrose synthase (SUSY) and fructokinase increase whereas cell-wall bound invertase (cwInv) activity decreases [12–14]. Large amounts of starch and proteins are then deposited which can also be observed by transcriptomic and metabolomic changes [11,15,16].

At the end of the growth season the green tissue of the mother plant dies, SUSY activity in the tuber sharply declines [17], and the tubers then remain dormant in the soil during winter [18]. The length of the dormancy phase is determined by various environmental, physiological and hormonal cues [19–23]. At the beginning of the next growth season the onset of sprouting is characterized by several metabolic and transcriptional changes in the tuber in order to remobilize stored resources and to supply nutrients for the development of new plant tissues [9,20,22,24]. In particular, sucrose is remobilized through breakdown of starch by activation of starch degradation enzymes [25].

This complex process makes potato, besides its agricultural importance, an interesting model organism to study so called source-sink-interactions. In general, plant tissues can be divided into two types. Those which produce an excess of photoassimilates like fully developed mature leaves are called source organs. In contrast, sink tissues, for example young leaves, flowers and roots are not able to produce enough photoassimilates on their own and are therefore dependent on an import of carbon and nutrients.

Carbon which is fixed during photosynthesis is transformed into the non-reducing sugar sucrose serving as the common carbohydrate transport form. The excess of sucrose is unloaded from the mesophyll cells into the apoplast by SUGARS WILL EVENTUALLY BE EXPORTED TRANSPORTER (SWEET) proteins [26] and taken up into the phloem via sucrose proton symporters like SUC2 or SUT1 to be transported further throughout the plant [27–29]. With the surplus of assimilates sink tissues can be supplied, where sucrose is unloaded in large amounts from the phloem. In developing, growing potato tubers this happens symplasmically [11] like in the important tuberous root crop cassava (Manihot esculenta) [30]. Sucrose is then either metabolized into starch for storage which occurs via
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SUSY or used as energy source for development and growth via cleavage through cwlnv [31,32]. Thus, the mode of sucrose cleavage can determine the fate of assimilate utilization [33].

The potato tubers depict an exceptional case since their source-sink property can switch during their life cycle. In order to ensure vigorous outgrowth of shoots in spring, tubers accumulate large amounts of resources like starch and proteins during their formation and thus act as a strong sink [14,34]. Therefore they are referred to as storage sinks contrary to metabolic sinks such as growing leaves [35]. After dormancy, the tuber identity switches towards a source organ, as it is supplying nutrients to the newly developing shoots until enough photoassimilates can be produced by the emerging leaves. This finally results in the degradation of the mother tuber.

In order to improve yield of crop plants understanding the source sink-balance is essential [36,37]. The rate of photosynthesis has been found to be critical for source capacity in many species such as cotton [38], sugarbeet [39], ryegrass [40], sorghum and tomatoes [41]. As improvement of photosynthesis might increase source strength and thus secure food production [42,43], several different approaches have been carried out to achieve this goal. For example, in rice [44] and tobacco [45] the key enzyme of photosynthesis, RuBisCO, was targeted to improve CO$_2$ fixation. In rice [46] and tobacco [47] the photosynthetic rates were increased through overexpression of a seduheptulose bisphosphatase, especially in combination with fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBPA) [48]. These two enzymes act in the Calvin-Benson cycle. Another study has achieved increased source capacity through accelerated recovery from photo protection mechanisms under fluctuating light conditions in tobacco [49]. However, this result seemed to be difficult to transfer in other plant species [50]. Overexpression of a tonoplast monosaccharide transporter (TMT) indirectly promoted sucrose export out of the source leaf through the sequestration of hexoses and thus removing the feedback inhibition on photosynthesis [51]. In the last decade much emphasis was also led on the improvement of photorespiration [52,53], starting with the finding that a photorespiratory bypass through overexpression of an E. coli glycolate dehydrogenase increased biomass production in A thaliana [54] and also in potato [55]. Contrary to these findings which suggest a benefit through improvement of source strength other studies report a sink limitation [56–58]. In potato, overexpression of a Pisum sativum glucose-6-phosphate/phosphate translocator (GPT) and Arabidopsis thaliana adenylate translocator (NTT1) at the amyloplast membrane in the tuber was successful as it increased tuber starch content [59]. Together these two transport proteins provided substrate as well as energy for starch synthesis and thus increased tuber yields by 19 % and starch content around 44 %.
The communication between the source and sink organs in potato is of crucial importance for the plant [36,60,61] and tightly regulated by hormone, nutrient and stress cross signaling [62]. Thus, growth can be adjusted to internal and external stimuli. For mankind, increasing sink strength of crop plants is essential for future food development [60]. Therefore understanding of transport processes is also required [63] but improvement of source capacity together with sink strength is most important [64]. Indeed, in a biotechnological approach a push-pull strategy turned out to be promising and could for example increase starch contents in potato tubers twofold [65].

2.3 Control of tuberization in potato

Since the formation of tubers involves an altered assimilate partitioning and thus a major change in the source-sink balance, it has to be under strict regulation. In fact, source-sink regulation is influenced by various environmental cues. In general, tuberization is favored by short days (SD), low temperatures and low nitrogen supply [9]. In native Andean cultivars like Solanum tuberosum group andigena it is under strict photoperiodic control, where day length is perceived by the leaves [24].

As indicated by research of the last decade regulation of tuberization in potato is very similar to flowering control in Arabidopsis thaliana. The key players in both pathways are homologs of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT).

In Arabidopsis, FT is activated under long day (LD) by CONSTANS [66,67]. This occurs as follows. Under flowering inducing LD conditions FLAVIN BINDING KELCH REPEAT F BOX (FKF1) is activated by blue light via a light oxygen voltage (LOV) domain to form a complex with GIgANTeA (GI) [68]. This complex binds CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (CDF1) and thus marks it for proteolytic degradation[68,69]. CDF1 normally represses CONSTANS (CO) which acts as a transcriptional activator of FT [70]. FT was shown to acts as a long distance signal [70,71] which is phloemmobile and also graft transmissible [72–74] but requires interaction with the interacting protein FTIP1 [75] putatively localized at plasmodesmata [76]. In the floral meristem a flower activation complex (FAC) is formed to induce transcription of meristem identity genes [77].

In potato, tuberization is also controlled by the photoperiodic pathway [78]. The first hint was given by heterografting experiments of flowering tobacco shoots on potato stocks which induced tuberization and thus suggested that flowering and tuberization are mediated by similar graft transmissible signals [79].
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However, in potato several FT homologues have evolved and play a role in tuberization and flowering. They form a small gene family, with the most important being SP6A, SP5G and SP3D. SP6A is the so called tuberigen and especially activated under SD [80]. Overexpression of SP6A improved tuberization even under LD, whereas RNAi-based knockdown prevented it also under SD [81]. The CO homologue in potato (COL1) activates SP5G, which acts as a repressor of SP6A [82]. SP3D is also regulated by COL1 and was shown to control flowering [81,83]. Grafting experiments in potato revealed that SP6A is also a phloemmobile graft transmissible signal [81]. Therefore it is assumed to be expressed in phloem companion cells like it was shown for FT in tobacco [84]. In potato, SP6A seems to be expressed in leaf veins [85]. According to the current model, SP6A is produced in the leaves and then transported via the phloem to the stolons where it initiates tuberization after an autoregulatory self-amplification loop [80,81,86]. This model is summarized in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Regulation of tuberization in potato.](image)

Under long day lengths GI and FKF1 bind to CDF and mark it for proteolytic degradation. This leads to the activation of CO. Under SD, CDF1 is not degraded by FKF1/GI and inhibits CO which then can no longer activate SP5G, functioning as a repressor of SP6A. SP6A is phloemmobile and moves through the stem to the stolons where it promotes tuberization after an autoregulatory self-amplification loop (modified after Abelenda et al., 2011).

Some interaction partners have been identified recently [87]. Again, similarities to flowering in Arabidopsis and rice have been found. A flowering activation complex (FAC) consists of FT which interacts with FLOWERING LOCUS D (FD), a basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor [88]. This interaction is mediated by 14-3-3 proteins through an SAP (Arg-X-X-Ser/Thr-Ala-Pro) motif in FD which is essential for floral induction [77,88,89]. To enable the interaction FD is dependent on phosphorylation at the SAP site through a Ca^{2+} dependent protein kinase (CDPK) [90,91]. Finally, FD can activate flowering genes such as APETALA1 to induce floral transition [88,92]. In rice, a similar mechanism has been shown for the rice
homologue of FT called Hd3a [77]. Analogous to the FAC, in potato a tuberization activation complex (TAC) is formed in the stolons, where SP6A interacts with FD-like proteins via 14-3-3 proteins as well [87]. Furthermore, SP6A was shown to inhibit a SWEET protein, namely SWEET11b in stolons, thus preventing sucrose efflux and suggesting a role of SP6A is sucrose partitioning [93]. In Arabidopsis, FT induces the expression of SWEET10 in the leaf veins and thus promotes flowering through the provision of sugars [94].

The function of SP6A and its FT homologues in various species is still under examination. SP6A belongs to a broader protein family called phosphatidylethanolamine-binding proteins (PEBP) [95] consisting of three major groups of proteins exhibiting similarity to FT, TERMINAL FLOWER LIKE (TFL) and MOTHER OF FT AND TFL (MFT). Although the exact molecular activities of SP6A and other FTs in storage organ formation are unknown, this underlies once more the close link between flowering and tuberization.

As mentioned above regulation of flowering is often controlled by day length. Native diploid (2n=24) Andean varieties of potato (S. tuberosum group andigena) do not tuberize in regions with warmer climate and longer day lengths [1,96]. Unlike those native cultivars modern agronomically used varieties were adapted to European long day conditions most likely by selection for a truncated CDF1 allele. This lacks a binding site for FKF1 and thus escapes LD repression. For cultivation in northern latitudes this was an important breeding step [78].

However, there exist multiple additional signals for tuberization, for example phloem mobile proteins and RNAs [9,97]. For example, BELLRINGER-like 5 of potato (BEL5) functions as a mobile RNA that is transcribed in the leaves, moves through the stem and induces tuber formation through activating CDF1 and SP6A [85]. BEL5 can be inhibited by COL1 [98]. Additionally, members of the PYRIMIDINE TRACT BINDING (PTB) protein family play important roles in tuberization. These proteins act as RNA-binding splicing regulators and are highly conserved between species which use FT homologues as a regulatory hub for flowering as well as storage organ development [99].

Furthermore, the microRNAs (miRNAs) miR172 and miR156 can stimulate and inhibit tuber formation respectively [100,101]. Moreover, tuberization can be controlled by phytohormones [102].

It has been known for a long time that sucrose acts as a strong tuberization inducer. Similarly sugars promote flowering [103,104]. Sucrose levels are sensed by the content of trehalose 6-phosphate (T6P) which serves as a signaling molecule to regulate developmental and metabolic responses [105,106]. In Arabidopsis, T6P controls flowering in the shoot apical meristem via miR156/157 and also in the leaves via FT [104,106]. In potato, a link between
T6P and SP6A could not be proven yet. However, it is clear that carbon allocation, which is basically sucrose transport, has a strong impact on sink development. This is supported by investigations on sucrose transport proteins such as SUC4, whose suppression promotes tuberization under non inductive LD conditions [107]. Furthermore, as mentioned above, the repression of SWEET11 by SP6A provides a link between photoperiod and sugar transport [93].

In agriculture, it was found that the nutrient composition of the soil also influences shoot and tuber development. An adequate supply with nitrogen (N) is essential for potato plant growth as for many other species [108,109]. Limited nitrogen availability affects potato tuberization in a cultivar dependent manner [110]. Nitrogen fertilization can improve leaf chlorophyll content, tuber swelling and tuber yield [111–113]. However, nitrogen uptake seems to be coupled with carbon allocation through the plant from shoot to root [114]. Recently, more studies underlie a close link between N and sugar partitioning [115], for example by the sugar sensor T6P [106]. Indeed, excessive amounts of N can trigger massive shoot growth and concurrently impair or abolish tuber development [113].

Similar observations were found concerning phosphorus (P). Adequate P supply promotes tuber initiation, starch synthesis, leaf development and also disease resistance [116–118]. High P contents reduce tuber yield and induce zinc or other micronutrient deficiencies [119]. A lack of potassium (K) can reduce sugar levels and affect tuber quality, specific gravity and storability [120,121]. It can further lead to blackspot bruise [122,123]. Although a clear correlation between potassium and tuber yield cannot be found [124,125], fertilization with KCl promotes green biomass development, whereas K₂SO₄ stimulates tuberization [126]. Compared to cereal crops potato plants require a lower amount of fertilizer with a distribution of 40-50%, 10-15% and 50-60% for N, P and K respectively [127].

2.4 Effects of abiotic stress on potato

2.4.1 Effects of heat on potato

Due to global climate change abiotic stresses are a huge threat for crop yields worldwide, especially for potato [15,128–133]. In particular, heat and drought stress periods are predicted to increase. This depicts a special harm for crop plants in the northern hemisphere which are not adapted to such conditions [15,134,135]. As a consequence of global warming potato yields are expected to decrease approximately 30 % by 2050 [15]. Since potato originates from rather cool mountainous regions it is particularly vulnerable to heat and rather prefers growth temperatures between 14 °C and 22 °C [3,96,136]. Therefore cultivation of potato in the warm tropics is impossible [137,138].
For many plants, heat has numerous negative impacts [134,139,140]. For example, heat increases membrane fluidity leading to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) into the cytosol through Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is an important second messenger in the cell and leads to specific stress response through interaction with CDPKs or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} binding proteins, which activate signaling cascades. This leads to the phosphorylation of certain transcription factors mediating an altered gene expression. Under heat stress, a conserved response is the increased accumulation of heat shock proteins (HSPs). These HSPs, more precisely the subgroup of HSP70, are formed under heat stress and act as chaperones, which stabilize misfolded proteins and bring them into the correct conformation [141]. Indeed, overexpression of HEAT-SHOCK COGNATE 70 (HSc70) improved potato heat tolerance [142]. Also the expression of eukaryotic elongation factors such as eEF1A were demonstrated to be important for protein translation in potato under heat [143]. Heat induces further molecular changes: the metabolic balance is shifted, which leads to uncoupled flows of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH). There is also an accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which, if not being scavenged by the antioxidative system, have toxic effects on the cell [128].

In potato already mildly elevated temperatures of around 5-10 °C above the optimum, which is around 28 °C, lead to increased shoot elongation, smaller leaves and an upward orientated plant habitus known as shade avoidance phenotype [136]. In addition, elevated temperatures decrease the development of root biomass which further limits water uptake [144,145]. Most importantly yield is strongly reduced [146] by an interplay of many different heat dependent mechanisms [147].

In the shoot, heat has a strong negative impact on photosynthesis [148,149]. Usually plants try to cool the leaves by transpiration since heat inhibits Rubisco [150]. Heat further accelerates senescence, increases photorespiration and dark respiration, but reduces CO\textsubscript{2} assimilation rates [151,152]. In particular, this is due to inactivation of Photosystem II (PSII) and an altered redox state of plastoquinone (PQ) induced by ROS accumulation [150,153,154]. Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO) is a key enzyme for both processes [155]. A feature of this enzyme is the preference for oxygenation instead of carboxylation at higher temperatures since under heat the ratio of solubilized CO\textsubscript{2} over O\textsubscript{2} decreases [156–158]. The educts resulting from O\textsubscript{2} incorporation have to be recycled in and energy expensive way through peroxisomes and mitochondria. This process known as photorespiration reduces CO\textsubscript{2} fixation and thus makes this process especially relevant for crop plants [52].
Together these processes alter assimilate production and allocation, which in principle means a shift in source sink-balance, reflected in an increase of green biomass under heat and a reduced tuber yield [152,159]. This seems to be caused by reduced SP6A expression, thus a lack of the tuberization signal reduces tuber formation [136]. The PHYTOCHROME B (PHYB) photoreceptor was identified as a regulator integrating light and temperature signals [160,161]. The basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor PIF4 interacts with PHYB and can thus mediate adaptation to high temperatures [162]. In Arabidopsis PIF4 can control flowering via directly binding to the FT promoter [163]. In tomato, the FT homologue SP5G is regulated also by PHYB [164].

Moreover, malformation and early sprouting of tubers known as second growth phenotype occur more frequently under heat. Premature breakage of dormancy also affects long time storage [165]. Preservation of starch content even under stress is of agronomic importance. Still not all mechanisms of starch biosynthesis are completely understood [166]. Starch contents of potato tubers decrease under heat [152,167,168]. Mostly this is due to a lower expression as well as activity of a key enzyme in starch biosynthesis, sucrose synthase (SUSY) [17,19,134,169]. SUSY catalyzes the cleavage of sucrose into fructose and UDP-glucose with the latter serving as substrate for starch biosynthesis via UGPase.

Since a dialogue between source and sink organs exists, perception of environmental conditions such as heat affects assimilate partitioning to sink organs due to reduced sink strength [152]. The resulting lack of substrates in the tuber sink contributes further to an impaired starch biosynthesis. A summary of the described processes is shown in Figure 2.

Although breeding has adapted the potato plant to many different climate conditions, the best yields are obtained under moderate climates, like in mid Europe [170]. Even in modern cultivars potato tuber formation can further be enhanced by short days and cool temperatures and is hindered by long days and high temperatures [9,80,171]. Global warming has tremendously affected crop yields worldwide. If the increase in temperature cannot be stopped potato yields will decline by 18-32 % in the 2050s [172]. Therefore, in order to meet the nutritional demands of a growing population [173] even under climate change conditions the development of a heat-tolerant potato plant is essential.

2.4.2 Effects of drought on potato

Heat waves are often accompanied by water scarcity, i.e. drought. Elevated temperatures increase water transpiration, which in the end aggravates water shortage for the plant and limits yields [174–176]. Drought or limited water availability are seen phenotypically as wilting of the leaves caused by a decreased turgor pressure of the plant cell. Plant tissue might die if
wilting of the leaves exceeds a critical point known as permanent wilting point, but also limited water availability reduces plant growth. For crop yields climate change depicts a quite harmful scenario as already 70 % of water consumed by mankind is needed for agriculture. Since more than half of the terrestrial region is susceptible to drought this greatly affects plant growth and yield [177,178].

Potato is considered to be rather efficient in water usage. It produces about 40-100 % more calories per unit of water than the commonly used cereal crops maize, wheat and rice (http://www.fao.org/potato-2008/en/potato/water.html). However, it is still susceptible to drought [135], especially during the tuber swelling phase [179,180]. Thus, water stress reduces the photosynthetic rate, decreases plant growth and total biomass production [181,182], shortens the growth cycle [183], negatively affects tuber number [184], tuber size and in the end tuber yield [185].

Various approaches can be pursued to increase the tolerance of potato towards drought stress or to confer drought stress avoidance by increasing the water supply and accessibility [131,186]. Indeed it has been reported that by management of irrigation yields can be maintained whilst saving up to 50 % water compared to conventional watering [187,188].

The search for drought tolerant cultivars is an elaborate task. For Arabidopsis it was possible to identify drought tolerant ecotypes by in silico approaches [189]. Also for potato screenings for drought tolerant cultivars were performed [190,191] and some metabolite and transcript markers were identified [192]. Introducing germplasm from native cultivars and landraces can help to improve drought tolerance [135,193] as it was already done successfully for pathogen resistance [194]. Unfortunately these traits are often associated with a low yield potential [195]. Therefore, also transgenic approaches should be envisaged in order to secure optimal plant performance even under this abiotic stress.

In Arabidopsis β-Amylase turned out to be an interesting target. AtBAM1 was found to be required for maintaining the synthesis of the osmolyte proline through degradation of transitory starch under drought [196]. In potato overexpression of the transcription factor StNF-YB3.1 could promote abscisic acid (ABA)-mediated stomatal closure, unfortunately at the expense of tuber yield [197].
Figure 2. Drought and heat stress responses in potato. Under drought and heat stress both source organs (the leaves) as well as sink organs (the tubers) show molecular and morphological responses leading to a shift in assimilate partitioning in favor of the shoot and to the detriment of tuber yield.

Since water resources are already declining even in mid-europe and water shortages after consecutive dry summers have been reported, a reduced transpiration of crop plants would be a desirable agronomic trait. An important linchpin for achieving this goal would be stomata, formed by guard cells, which control gas exchange. Decreased transpiration could be achieved by reduced stomatal density [198–201]. Drought tolerant cultivars exhibit about five times less stomata and show even an unexpected increased heat tolerance [202].

Additionally, stomatal aperture was shown to increase water use efficiency (WUE) without affecting carbon assimilation or crop yield [203–205]. Overexpression of a blue light activated potassium channel (BLINK1) accelerated stomatal opening and thus increased water use efficiency [204]. A constitutive mild repression of stomatal opening was achieved by overexpression of hexokinase1 (AtHXK1) in the guard cells [205–207]. This enzyme is thought to affect sugar signaling pathways and thus trigger stomatal closure [208,209]. In this model an excess of photosynthetic activity can be sensed indirectly via carbohydrate production i.e. glucose. This would then lead to stomatal closure until sugar levels decrease and trigger the signal to open stomata. In this way stomatal conductance is linked to photosynthesis [209]. Therefore it appears to be a promising tool for future design of crop plants.

Drought mediated stomatal closure clearly correlates positively with the contents of the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) [210,211]. ABA is broadly used as a biochemical indicator for several stresses, especially drought. The active isomer influencing stomatal movement is
2-cis(S)-ABA [212,213]. When soil drying has been detected in the roots, this was thought to stimulate biosynthesis of ABA in the roots which is then transported to the leaves to trigger stomatal closure [214–217]. Recent studies have shown that is synthesized in phloem companion cells [218,219], guard cells [220] and mesophyll cells [221]. Up to now it is not clear whether also the shoot can control ABA levels in the root, and the influence of hydraulic signals such as leaf turgor pressure also need further investigation.

2.5 Aims of this study

The main goal of this study was to clarify the role of SP6A in the regulation of tuberization in potato and the implementation of the gained knowledge in biotechnological approaches to increase the tolerance of the potato crop towards abiotic stress, in particular drought and heat. The motivation behind this was the vulnerability of potato tuberization to the changing climate conditions in temperate climate zones due to global warming.

At first transgenic potato plants strongly overexpressing the key regulator of tuberization, SP6A, should be characterized. Since tremendous shifts in the source sink balance were observed, this should be investigated deeper in a transcriptional analysis of dormant tuber buds. This might elucidate further the process of tuber initiation. The morphological changes unseen so far in similar overexpression studies were a strong motivation to search for a new SP6A regulation mechanism explaining the phenotype. *In silico* a small RNA specific for *SP6A* was identified which should then be characterized in detail. Finally the function of the small RNA should be abolished with overexpression of a target mimicry construct.

In the second approach emphasis was led on a biotechnological utilization of SP6A. This should be combined with overexpression of *AtHXK1* of Arabidopsis as this gene was proven to mediate stomatal closure and thus reduce water consumption. An experimental setup should be designed in order to investigate the behavior of the double transformants under heat, drought and a combination thereof. Since yield turned out to be improved, several morphological, physiological, biochemical and transcriptional data were gathered in order to get first insights into the molecular reasons for stress tolerance.
3 Material and Methods

3.1 Material

If not stated otherwise chemicals, enzymes and consumables were obtained from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany), Fermentas (St.Leon Rot, Germany), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Qiagen (Hilden, Germany), Roche (Mannheim, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich, (St.Louis, USA), ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, USA), and VWR (Radnor, USA). Materials for plants cultivation were obtained from Bayerische Gärtner eigenossenschaft (Nürnberg, Germany).

3.1.1 Bacteria

For cloning purposes *Escherichia coli* were used whereas transient and stable transformation of plants was conducted with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*.

Table 1. Overview of bacteria used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>strain</th>
<th>genotype</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli XL1 blue</td>
<td>recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 supE44 relA1 lacF' proAB lacZΔ M15 Tn10 (Tet^r)</td>
<td>Bullock <em>et al.</em>, 1987 [222]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens C58C1</td>
<td>RifR; with helperplasmid pGV2260 AmpR</td>
<td>van Larebeke <em>et al.</em>, 1974 [224]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Antibiotics

The following antibiotics were used for selection of competent *E.coli* (strain XL1 blue) or *A. tumefaciens* (strain C58C1).

Table 2. Overview of antibiotics used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>antibiotic</th>
<th>stock concentration</th>
<th>dilution used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin (Amp)</td>
<td>200 µg µl⁻¹</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin (Kan)</td>
<td>50 µg µl⁻¹</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin (Rif)</td>
<td>50 µg µl⁻¹</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectinomycin (Spec)</td>
<td>50 µg µl⁻¹</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin (Strep)</td>
<td>10 µg µl⁻¹</td>
<td>1:250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3 Antibodies

Table 3. Overview of antibodies used
Antibody | dilution | manufacturer
---|---|---
α-HA | 1:1000 | Roche, Mannheim
Mouse α-GFP | 1:1000 | Roche, Mannheim
Rabbit α-Mouse | 1:20000 | Roche, Mannheim

### 3.1.4 Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides were if applicable designed with the help of Primer 3Plus [225] and obtained from metabion (Planegg, Germany).

**Table 4. Overview of oligonucleotides used**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>number</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>sequence (5’→3’)</th>
<th>Transcript ID</th>
<th>purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS539</td>
<td>UBI-qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>TTCCGACACCGAATACTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400013321</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS540</td>
<td>UBI-qPCR_rev</td>
<td>CGACCATCTAAGGCTTTT</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400013321</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS588</td>
<td>SP6A_qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>ACAGTGTATGGCCAGGTTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400060057</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS589</td>
<td>SP6A_qPCR_rev</td>
<td>ACAGTGTATGGCCAGGTTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400060057</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS590</td>
<td>SP6Acop_qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>ACTGTTAGCTCAGAGGATG</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS591</td>
<td>SP6Acop_qPCR_rev</td>
<td>CACGTGAGCAAGGTTATC</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS605</td>
<td>SP6A_CDS_fwd</td>
<td>ATGCCCTAGAGGTGATCCATTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400060057</td>
<td>cloning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS606</td>
<td>SP6A_CDS_rev</td>
<td>TTAGCTGCGGACGTCTCCAGT</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400060057</td>
<td>cloning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS628</td>
<td>Actin_fwd</td>
<td>GACATTTAATGTTCCTGCTATG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400047481</td>
<td>PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS629</td>
<td>Actin_rev</td>
<td>AATGGGAAGAATCTCCTACTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400047481</td>
<td>PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS638</td>
<td>SP6Acop_pRB_GFP_fwd</td>
<td>GGATCCCCAAGGGTTGACCCA</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS639</td>
<td>SP6Acop_pRB_GFP_rev</td>
<td>GTGCACTAAAGCTCCTACCT</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS642</td>
<td>M13_fwd</td>
<td>TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS643</td>
<td>M13_rev</td>
<td>CAGGGACAGCTATGACC</td>
<td>sequencing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS691</td>
<td>SWEET11_qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>GTATGCGATGGCAGGGTTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400083992</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS692</td>
<td>SWEET11_qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>CCAGGGCGAATCTCCTACTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400083992</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS695</td>
<td>SES_91_fwd BamHI</td>
<td>GGATCCTTCCAAAAATATTATCGCATCCATG</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS696</td>
<td>SES_91_rev SalI</td>
<td>GTCGACTTCTCCCTACCATG</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS728</td>
<td>SES_genomic_fwd_BamHI</td>
<td>GGATCGGTGTTGTTTGGTGGTGG</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS729</td>
<td>SES_genomic_fwd_SalI</td>
<td>GTCGACTTCTCCCTACCATG</td>
<td>cloning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS790</td>
<td>COi1_qPCR_fwd</td>
<td>GTAGCGAATGGGTTGTTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400026065</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS791</td>
<td>COi1_qPCR_rev</td>
<td>AGTAAACGGTACATTGTTG</td>
<td>PGSC0003DMT400026065</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS796</td>
<td>STTM_RT_fwd</td>
<td>TGTGTCTAGAATCTCCTACTG</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS797</td>
<td>STTM_RT_rev</td>
<td>AGGATTCTAGAANACACATATT</td>
<td>qPCR, RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.5 Plasmids and vectors

Table 5. Overview of plasmids used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>plasmid</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>selection in planta</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p2228</td>
<td>pBinAR-35S::SP6A&lt;sup&gt;cop&lt;/sup&gt;-HA</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>Kirsten Ott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2962</td>
<td>pBinAR-35S::SP6A&lt;sup&gt;mod&lt;/sup&gt;-HA</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2339</td>
<td>pRB35S-35S::GFP-SP6A&lt;sup&gt;cop&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spec, Strep</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2354</td>
<td>pGWB6-35S::GFP-SP6A</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>Prof. Salomé Prat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Madrid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2480</td>
<td>pBinAR-35S::STTM</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2636</td>
<td>HXK+SP6A</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2963</td>
<td>pBinAR-35S::SES&lt;sup&gt;pri-miRNA&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2961</td>
<td>pBin19-SES&lt;sup&gt;genomic&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Kan</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Overview of vectors used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>vector</th>
<th>description</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBinAR</td>
<td>Binary vector, KanR</td>
<td>Höfgen and Willmitzer,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1999) [226]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRB35S-GFP-OCS</td>
<td>Binary vector with GFP, KanR</td>
<td>Max Kraner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.6 Plants

The following transgenic plant were used during this thesis
Table 7. Transgenic plants used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>lines</th>
<th>Plasmid according to biochemistry database</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP6Aco-p-HA</td>
<td>#3, #7, #8, #9</td>
<td>p2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STTM</td>
<td>#3, #5, #6, #7, #12</td>
<td>p2480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HXK+SP6A</td>
<td>#3, #17, #27, #28</td>
<td>p2636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.7 Media and buffer

Table 8. Composition of media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Bacto Tryptone</td>
<td>10 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>10 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEB</td>
<td>Bacto Cattle Extract</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeast Extract</td>
<td>1 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacto-Tryptone</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>MgSO4</td>
<td>0.49 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeast Extract</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tryptone</td>
<td>20 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.584 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>0.186 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgSO4</td>
<td>2.4 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>until pH=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>after autoclaving 1 ml/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Buffers used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x TBE</td>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>108 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boric acid</td>
<td>55 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0)</td>
<td>40 ml/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6</td>
<td>Guanidinium chloride</td>
<td>8 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS AND METHODS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES 20 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA 20 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAOH Until pH = 7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-ME 70µl per 10 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x Laemmli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris pH 6.8 50 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS 2 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 10 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-ME 5 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromophenolblue 0.2 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris 480mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 390 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol 20 % (v/v)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl Until pH = 8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x TBS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) 200 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl 5 M (292 g/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS-T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) 20 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 20 0.05 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) 10 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA (pH 8.0) 1 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE-RNase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE-buffer 0.1 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A 0.01 mg/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mQ ad 10ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x Running Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris 250 mM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycin 1.92 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl until pH=8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS 0.1 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution A ( Western Blot)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris (0.1 M) 2.4 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl until pH = 8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminol 50 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mQ ad 200 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-hydroxy coumarinacid 11 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO 10 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution A 1 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution B 100 µl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂ (30 %) 30 µl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)</td>
<td>25 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme extraction buffer</td>
<td>Tris HCl pH 6.8</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEP</td>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>glycerol</td>
<td>15% (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pefabloc proteinase inhibitor</td>
<td>0.1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation buffer</td>
<td>50 mM Na-Acetate</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 M sucrose</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>50 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazolbuffer</td>
<td>Imidazol</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCl (10%)</td>
<td>Until pH = 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar measuring buffer</td>
<td>Imidazolbuffer</td>
<td>237 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP (20 mg/ml)</td>
<td>6.6 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAD (60 mg/ml)</td>
<td>6.6 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G6PDH (350 U/mg)</td>
<td>0.25 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Plant growth conditions and sample taking

3.2.1.1 Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)

Potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum* L. ,’Solara’) plants were obtained from Bioplant (Ebstorf, Germany). All transgenic plants used were maintained in tissue culture. Potato plants (*Solanum tuberosum* L. ,’Solara’) plants were grown under greenhouse conditions with 16 h supplemental light (250 µE). Plants were maintained and amplified in tissue culture on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium [227] containing 2% sucrose. Walk-in growth chambers were used for controlled ambient and elevated temperature treatments (20 °C or 27 °C during dark period, 22 °C or 29 °C during light period, respectively) with 350 µE supplemental light. Drought stress was applied by stopping watering for 2-3 days and subsequent adjusting the relative water content (RWC) in the soil to 35 %, while in control condition RWC was 65 %. This was achieved through measurement of soil conductivity using the EM50 soil moisture sensor (Decagon, USA) and calibration of pot weight. Leaf area was measured using a LI-3100 area meter (LI-COR, USA). Plant height was measured from soil surface to apical meristem. Harvest index was calculated as ratio of tuber fresh weight per plant over green fresh weight per plant. Leaf samples were taken from fully developed source leaves (ca. 5th to 8th from top). Tuber samples were taken by punching through the tuber parenchyma and after removing the most outer and inner parts of the tuber slices were cut from the resulting cylinder. Dry weight was measured from a collection of about 25 slices from at least 4 different tubers.

3.2.1.2 Tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*)

For transient assays three-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown under greenhouse conditions with 16 h supplemental light. Samples were taken from four independent infiltrated leaves.

3.2.2 Transformation methods

3.2.2.1 Plant transformation

3.2.2.1.1 *Solanum tuberosum* transformation

Transformation of potato plants was conducted as described previously [228]. Therefore leaf discs of potato plants grown in tissue culture were incubated in MS medium [227] containing 2 % sucrose. Subsequently 50 µl of agrobacteria were added and mixed for 5 min. After incubation in the dark for 2 days leaf discs were transferred to MS medium supplemented
with 1.6 % glucose, 2 mg/l zeatinribose, 20 µg/ml NAA, 20 g/l GA₃, 0.5 g/l Claforan, 50 mg/l kanamycin and 0.8 % agar to induce callus formation. After 1 week leaf discs were kept on the same medium with reduced Claforan concentration (0.25 g/l). Every 10 days leaf discs or developing calli were put into fresh medium. After shoot formation (after 3-4 transfers), shoots were cut off the leaf disc and transferred to MS-medium containing 2% sucrose and 250 µg/ml Claforan.

3.2.2.1.2 *Nicotiana benthamiana* transformation

Transient transformation of *N. benthamiana* was conducted with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Therefore 20 ml YEB medium with respective antibiotics was inoculated with the agrobacteria carrying recombinant plasmid and incubated at 28 °C overnight. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4500 rpm at 4 °C. The pellet was washed with 10 mM MgCl₂ and resuspended in 20 ml 10mM MgCl₂; the optical density was adjusted to OD₆₀₀=0.5. The suspension was infiltrated with a syringe without needle into the abaxial side of a source leaf.

3.2.2.2 Bacteria transformation

3.2.2.2.1 *Escherichia coli* transformation

For transformation of *E. coli* 100 µl of competent *E. coli* strain XL1 blue were thawed on ice and 10 µl of plasmid added. After 30 min incubation on ice a heat shock of 42 °C was applied for 50 seconds. Cells were then cooled on ice for 5 min and regenerated in 1ml SOC medium for 1 h at 37 °C on a shaker. The cells were centrifuged for 2 min at 13000 rpm, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in residual medium. Subsequently cells were plated on LB plates containing appropriate antibiotics and incubated overnight at 37°C.

3.2.2.2.2 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* transformation

For transformation of agrobacteria 100 µl competent cells of strain C58C1 (*Table 1*) were thawed on ice and incubated with 15 µl plasmid DNA on ice for 30 min. Subsequently, the cells were frozen in liquid nitrogen and heat shocked at 37 °C for 5 min. For expression of resistance genes the cells were then incubated in 500 µl YEB medium for 4 h at 28°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet re-suspended in the residual medium. The suspension was plated on YEB plates with antibiotics and incubated at 28°C for 23-days.
3.2.3 DNA-related methods

3.2.3.1 Isolation of genomic DNA
Two leaf discs (=1 cm²) were homogenized with 600 μl urea buffer (7 M urea, 0.3 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0), mixed and centrifuged (5 min 13000 rpm). Five hundred μl of supernatant were transferred to a new reaction tube. By adding 500 μl of isopropanol and centrifugation (10 min 13000rpm) the DNA was precipitated. After washing with 500 μl 70% EtOH, the pellet was dried for 5 min at 37 ° C, dissolved in 30 μl TE buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) at 37 ° C and stored at 4 ° C.

3.2.3.2 Polymerase chain reaction
For amplification of DNA fragments the polymerase chain reaction was used with different primers. Although annealing temperature varied a standard PCR assay was performed as follows:

Table 10. Standard PCR reaction mix per sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Template (gDNA/cDNA)</td>
<td>1 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forward Primer (4 μM)</td>
<td>1 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reverse Primer (4 μM)</td>
<td>1 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs (25 mM)</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffer 10x</td>
<td>2.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-Polymerase Taq</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>18.5 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Standard PCR program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR program</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12°C</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.3.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis
In order to purify the desired PCR fragment or analyze the PCR reaction an agarose gel electrophoresis was carried out. The PCR products (DNA fragments) were run on an agarose gel 1-2 % following the separation according to size. For a 1 % agarose gel 1 g agarose gel was dissolved in 100 ml TBE buffer and heated in a microwave. Ethidium bromide was added in order to visualize the DNA under UV light for easy excision of the desired fragment.

3.2.3.4 Gel extraction of DNA from agarose gels
DNA fragments for cloning were cut from the gel using a scalpel and processed with the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions.

3.2.3.5 Ligation
DNA fragments were cloned into the respective destination vector using T4-DNA ligase in an appropriate T4 DNA ligation buffer at 16°C overnight to be transformed into E. coli.

Table 12. Ligation mixture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4DNA ligase</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x ligation buffer</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mQ</td>
<td>ad 20 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3.6 Plasmid DNA isolation
For plasmid isolation with alkaline lysis bacteria were grown in 3 ml of LB medium with appropriate antibiotics overnight. Cells were transferred in 1.5 ml reaction tubes and harvested by centrifugation at 13000rpm for 1 min. The pellet was resuspended in 100µl solution 1. Next, 200 µl solution 2 (0.2 M NaOH, 1 % SDS ; mixed fresh before use) were added for cell lysis and mixed gently. Then 150 µl 3 M NaAc pH 4.8 were added and mixed by inverting. The cellular debris was removed by centrifugation for 5 min at 13000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred to a new reaction tube where the DNA was precipitated by adding 900 ml 100 % EtOH and centrifugation for 10 min at 13000rpm. The pellet was washed with 500 µl 80 % EtOH, dried and resuspended in 30 µl TE/RNAsae.

3.2.3.7 Restriction analysis
Restriction digestion was performed to prepare fragments or to verify successful cloning (i.e. to prove whether a fragment of the correct size has been inserted into the vector). A typical
restriction assay was performed in 20 ml total volume containing 1x restriction buffer and the plasmid DNA at 37°C for 1 h (or 2 h for preparative DNA digestion) followed by heat inactivation of the enzyme if necessary. The amount of enzyme and DNA was chosen depending on the amount of the DNA and type of restriction enzyme used.

A typical digestion was carried out as follows:

**Table 13. Reaction mixture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparative digestion mix</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA (Plasmid/ vector)</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction enzyme I</td>
<td>0.5-1.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction enzyme II</td>
<td>0.5-1.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restriction buffer</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mQ</td>
<td>ad 20 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3.8 Sequencing

After the insertion of a fragment has been verified by restriction analysis the sequence correctness was confirmed by Sanger sequencing at GATC-Biotech. An aliquot of 2 µl plasmid in 30 µl mQ was sent in to be sequenced via appropriate primers.

3.2.3.9 Gene synthesis

The sequences of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA (Figure 3) and STTM (Figure 20) were synthesized by GeneArt (Thermo Fisher). They were subsequently cloned into the pBinAR binary vector and transformed into potato (cv. 'Solara') by Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer to generate SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA, STTM and HXK+SP6A overexpressing lines [228].

3.2.3.10 Golden Gate cloning method

The double construct was generated using the GoldenGate cloning system [229–231] by assembling synthetic or PCR amplified gene sequences and modules available from MoClo plant part kits (www.addgene.org) [232] into level 0 and subsequently level 1 vectors to generate the plasmids StLS1::StSP6A and KST1::AtHXK1. Both plasmids were combined with a Kanamycin-resistance cassette (pICSL70004) into a level 2 vector (pAGM4237, Addgene) which was transformed into potato cv. Solara by Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer to generate the HXK+SP6A lines [228]. An overview of modules used to generate the plasmid is provided in Table 14.

**Table 14. Golden Gate construct design**
3.2.4 RNA-related methods

3.2.4.1 RNA isolation
Samples of source leaves were taken during the first half of the light period, 4 h after dawn. Total RNA was isolated from ca. 100 mg of frozen leaf material by grinding in 1 ml 8 M guanidium chloride with 0.7 % β-ME [233]. Five hundred µl phenol:chloroform isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) were added to inactivate RNase proteins and vortexed. Phases were separated by 10 min centrifugation at 15000 rpm. From the supernatant 900 µl were transferred to a new reaction tuber where 660 µl EtOH and 40 µl 1 N acidic acid were added to precipitate RNA. After vortexing the RNA was pellet for 10 min at 15000 rpm. The pellet was first washed with 500 µl 3 M NaAc pH 5.2 and then with 500 µl 80 % EtOH. The pellet was dried and resolved in 30 µl DEPC treated water and cooked for 5 min at 65 °C. RNA quantity was measured with ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies). To verify the integrity of the RNA an aliquot of the sample was loaded on an agarose gel.

3.2.4.2 cDNA synthesis
For cDNA synthesis 1.5 µg RNA was used. To exclude contamination with genomic DNA a DNase digestion was performed for 45 min at 37 °C. DNase was inactivated by incubation at 65 °C for 10 min.

Table 15. DNAse digestion mix per sample/reaction tube

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>1.5 µg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x DNAsel MgCl₂ buffer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNAses I</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC-H₂O</td>
<td>ad 10µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next, components for cDNA synthesis were added and incubated for 5 min at 65°C followed by 7 min at 37°C. Subsequently an RNase inhibitor and reverse transcriptase were added.

### Table 16. cDNA synthesis reaction mix per well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNAse treated sample</td>
<td>11 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x M-MLV buffer</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10mM dNTPs</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50µM oligo-dT 30 primer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC-H2O</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RiboLock™ RNase inhibitor (Thermo)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevertAid™ H Minus Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo)</td>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction was incubated for 2 h at 42°C and stopped by 70°C for 10 min. The cDNA was stored at -20°C. The cDNA synthesis was tested by a PCR using standard protocol (Table 10) with primers specific for the housekeeping gene actin.

#### 3.2.4.3 Real Time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

In order to quantify gene expression Real Time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was carried out on an AriaMx device (Agilent Technologies). The housekeeping gene Ubiquitin served as a reference gene (PGSC0003DMT400013321). Usually four biological replicates were analyzed on a 96 well plate in 20 µl reaction assay each.

### Table 17. qPCR reaction mix per well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cDNA (diluted 1:50)</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer forward (4 µM)</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer revers (4 µM)</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x GoTaq qPCR Master Mix</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 18. qPCR standard program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>qPCR program</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>5 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MATERIALS AND METHODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 °C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>40 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 °C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 °C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ct value (threshold cycle) were obtained using the Agilent AriaMx program, and fold change in gene expression was determined via the Ct methods as described by Livak and Schmittgen [234].

#### 3.2.4.4 Microarray analysis

Samples for the microarray experiment were taken from tubers 19 days post-harvest. For each replicate eight buds from 4 different tubers were pooled. Sample preparation and labelling were performed by Stephen Reid (AG S. Sonnewald) according to the one-color microarray-based gene expression analysis protocol (v.6.6) including the one-color RNA spike-in kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). After fragmentation, Cy3-labelled samples were loaded on 8 × 60 K arrays (Agilent Technologies AMADID 033033, [136] and hybridized overnight (17 h, 65 °C). Slides were washed and scanned with a high-resolution scanner (Agilent Technologies,Santa Clara, USA) and aligned with the appropriate template file. The microarray experiment can be found at [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-7387](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-7387).

Data were extracted using feature extraction (v.11.5.1) software by applying the protocol (GE1_1105_Oct12), and generated .txt files were imported into GeneSpring GX (v.12.6) software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) for further analysis. Data were normalized using default settings: intensity values were set to a minimum of one, followed by log2 transformation, per chip normalization to the 75th percentile, and baseline correction to the median of all samples. Entities that passed the quality check (“flags” detected) and showed changes in expression equal or more than twofold were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance against control samples ($P \leq 0.05$) with Benjamini–Hochberg multiple test corrections [235]. A volcano plot was applied to identify statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$), equal, or more than twofold (FC $\geq 2$) differentially expressed entities by pairwise comparison against WT. Functional categorization was taken from Hancock et al. (2014) [136] or was accomplished by homology search against the Arabidopsis genome ([www.arabidopsis.org](http://www.arabidopsis.org)). Hierarchical clustering of genes was performed using Euclidian similarity measure with averaged samples.
3.2.4.5 MiScript qPCR

MiScript qPCR was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen Cat No. ID: 218761, Cat No. ID: 218073).

RNA was diluted to a concentration of 50 ng/µl. In the first step an RNA adaptor is ligated to all small RNAs in the sample. Therefore a master mix of the component was added except for the ligation activator which was added in the end.

Table 19. Adaptor ligation reaction mix per well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x MiScript ligation buffer</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x MiScript plant adaptor</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant RNA ligase</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x MiScript ligation activator</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mixture is incubated for 1 h at 16 °C then 20 min at 65 °C and cooled at 4 °C. After equilibration at room temperature, to make the ligation activator more fluid, the reaction mix for cDNA synthesis is prepared.

Table 20. MiScript cDNA synthesis reaction mix per well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5x RT-Buffer</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x RT-nucleics</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>9 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse transcriptase</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligation product</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mixture is incubated for 2 h at 37 °C, then heated for 5 min at 95 °C and cooled at 4 °C. The cDNA is stored at -20 °C, and qPCR can be carried out as follows.

Table 21. MiScript qPCR reaction mix per well

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quanitect SYBR Green</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.4.6 5\textquotesingle RACE

RNA ligase mediated rapid amplification of 5\textquotesingle-cDNA ends (RLM-5\textquotesingle RACE) was performed with *Solanum tuberosum* group Andigena ADG573 leaf material using the GeneRacer Kit (ThermoFisher) following the manufactures instructions.

### 3.2.5 Protein methods

#### 3.2.5.1 Protein isolation

Protein extraction was performed as previously described by Laemmli [236]. Leaf discs of source leaves (1 cm\(^2\)) were homogenized with 100 µl 2x buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2 % SDS, 10 % glycerol, 0.002 % bromophenolblue, 5 % β-mercaptoethanol). The extracts were boiled at 95 °C for 15 min and centrifuged at 4 °C for 2 min to remove cell debris. In pockets of 12.5 % Polyacrylamide gels 20 µl of the supernatant were loaded. For size estimation 5 µl of PAGE Ruler Prestained Protein ladder (ThermoFisher Scientific) were run alongside the samples.

#### 3.2.5.2 SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Sodium dodecylsulfate polyacrylamide gels were used for protein separation according to their size. As described in Table 23, 20 µl of the supernatant were loaded onto a 12.5 % SDS polyacrylamide gel. After polymerization the gel cassette with the separation gel at bottom and the stacking gel with the sample pockets on top was placed in an electrophoresis tank with 1x Running Buffer containing 0.1 % SDS. The gel was run at 120 V for 20 min and subsequently at 150 V for 50 min.
### Table 23. SDS-polyacrylamide gel composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>amount (Separation gel)</th>
<th>amount (Stacking gel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 M Tris-HCl (pH=8.8)</td>
<td>1.12 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 M Tris HCl (pH 6.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>625 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/BAA</td>
<td>3 ml</td>
<td>625 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mQ</td>
<td>4.7 ml</td>
<td>1. ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>4.5 µl</td>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ammonium persulfate APS (10% w/v)</td>
<td>67.5 µl</td>
<td>25 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS (10 %)</td>
<td>90 µl</td>
<td>50 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2.5.3 Western Blot

Proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham™ Protran™ 0.45 NC, GE Healthcare) via semidry blot. Therefore three layers of whatman paper soaked with 1x transfer buffer (containing 20 % v/v Methanol) were stacked on the anode followed by a nitrocellulose membrane, the gel and again three layers of whatman paper. Blotting was conducted over 50 min at 150 mA constant electric current. After blotting the membrane was blocked in 5 % skimmed milk powder in TBS-T buffer at room temperature for 60 min. Antibodies were prepared in 1 % milk-TBS-T and incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing three times for 15 min with TBS-T the membrane was incubated with ECL mix in a darkroom. An X-ray film was exposed until signal could be detected. The film was developed in an Optimax X-ray film processor. Protein blotting was confirmed afterwards by Ponceau staining of the membrane.

### 3.2.5.4 Bradford assay

Protein contents were determined by measuring absorbance at 595 nm using Bradford reagent (B6916; Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) [237]. A bovine serum albumin (BSA) calibration curve with defined amounts of protein was used to determine how much protein is in a solution. Three replicates of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 10 μg BSA were combined with 300 μl Bradford reagent (diluted 1: 5 in mQ). Five μl of each sample were added to 300 μl of Bradford reagent and incubated dark at RT for 5-10 min before measuring.

### 3.2.5.5 Measurements of cell wall-bound invertase and sucrose synthase

Enzymes were extracted from frozen tissue samples (ca. 50 mg) with 500 μl enzyme extraction buffer (EEP) in liquid nitrogen and centrifuged for 10 min at 13000 rpm. All steps were carried out at 4 °C. The pellet was washed twice with 500 μl 5 mM Tris HCl pH 7.0 and
stored at -80 °C. For measurements of cwlInv activity the pellet was incubated for 90 min with 90 µl incubation buffer. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 µl 1 M Tris HCl pH 8.0. All samples were spun down for 2 min at 13000 rpm. Of the supernatant 10-20 µl was incubated with 250 µl sucrose measuring buffer and glucose content was measured by coupled optical test at 340 nm wavelength.

Sucrose synthase (SUSY) activity was extracted as described above for cwlInv. Sucrose hydrolytic activity was measured after incubation of the pellet for 90 min with 0.1 M sucrose and coupled optical assay using UDP-glucose as substrate [17].

3.2.6 Starch determination

Starch was extracted using ca. 50 mg of tuber fresh weight grinded in 500 µl 80 % Ethanol and washed with 900 µl 80 EtOH. Pellets were incubated with 1 ml 0.2 M KOH overnight, heated at 95 °C for 90 min and neutralized with ca. 240 µl 1 N acidic acid. An aliquot of 10µl was digested with 90 µl 2 mg/ml Amyloglucosidase diluted in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer pH 5.2. Afterwards glucose contents were determined using a coupled optical assay as described previously [152].

3.2.7 Photosynthesis measurements

Photosynthesis and transpiration rates were measured under greenhouse conditions on fully developed source leaves on the upper middle stem (5th – 8th from top) using a LI-COR 6800 device. All measurements were conducted between three and six hours after dawn (09:00 - 12:00 a.m.) under the respective greenhouse conditions (400-600 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light, 400-500 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂, 50 % relative humidity). Temperature was also adjusted according to the treatment in the greenhouse (22 °C and 29 °C, respectively).

3.2.8 ABA measurements

Phytohormones were extracted as described by Pan et al., 2008 [238]. Leaf samples were grinded in liquid nitrogen using acidic buffer (66 % Isopropanol, 0.0002 % HCl). Samples were shaken 30 min at 4 °C followed by adding 2 fold volume chloroform extraction and another incubation at 4 °C while shaking. After centrifugation for 10 min at 4000 rpm at 4 °C the lower phase was transferred to a new reaction tube and vacuum dried at room temperature for 40 min. The pellet was resolubilized in 80 µl methanol. For chromatography 10 µl were injected into a luna C18 RP-column (250x4.6mm, Phenomenex) with precolumn installed in an ICS 3000 HPLC system (Dionex). The flowrate was 0.3 ml/min at 30 °C with a binary gradient consisting of buffer A (H₂O/ 0.75 % acidic acid, pH 2.55) and buffer B (ACN/ 0.75 % acidic acid) with 0-5min 20 % B, 5-26 min 46 % B, -27 min 90 % B, 27-32 min 90 % B, -34 min 20 % B, 34-45 min 20 % B. The ABA content was measured with a QTrap 3200
mass spectrometer (ABI, Sciex) with ESI-MS/MS and negative ionisation in MRM mode at -4500 volt. Ionsource temperature was 600 °C. The recorded Q1/Q3 mass transition for ABA was 263/153 Da. Dwelltime was 75 ms, while potentials for DP and Ep were -25 V, CEP and CE -4 V, and CXP was -22 V. Peak areas were quantified by comparison with a standard curve between 0.1 and 500 nM derived from pure ABA purchased from Sigma. Recovery of 3 pmol spiked pure ABA into 100 mg tissue was 98 ± 15.5%.

3.2.9 Proline measurements
Proline contents were measured via HPLC from the same extracts as done for ABA. The samples were re-suspended in purest water and used for HPLC analysis [239]. Prior to the measurement, primary and secondary amino acids were derivatized using 6-aminoquinolyl-N-hydroxysuccinimidylcarbamate (AQC reagent) as a fluorescing substance. Using a reversed phase HPLC (Dionex summit) concentrations of 17 amino acids were determined. The HPLC consists of a gradient pump, a degasing module, an autosampler and a fluorescence detector RF2000. Chromatograms were recorded using the software chromeleon. The gradient was accomplished with a buffer A (140 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.8 (Suprapur, Merck) and 7 mM triethanolamine (Sigma, Germany)). Acetonitrile (Roti C Solv HPLC, Roth) and HPLC water (Baker) were used as eluents B and C respectively. To separate amino acids, a reversed phase C18 column (phenomenex, 4.6 x 250 mm) was used which consists of a modified apolar as matrix. The gradient was produced as follows by 1%, 5 %, 9 %, 18 %, 60 % and 0 % of eluent B at 0.5 min, 27 min, 28.5 min, 44.5 min, and 47.5 min and 50.5 min retention time. The column was equilibrated 10 minute with buffer A at a flow rate of 1 ml per minute and heated at 37 °C during the whole measurement. Sample components were detected and quantified by fluorescence with excitation at 300 and emission at 400 nm [239].

3.2.10 Microscopy methods

3.2.10.1 Light microscopy
In order to measure the length to width ratio of stomata source leaves were coated with transparent nail polish (Ultra quick dry top coat, dm, Germany) by brushing the abaxial side of fully developed source leaves 4 h after dawn. The coatings were immediately removed after drying and photographed with a Leica DMR Microscope. Lengths were measured using the FIJI software.

3.2.10.2 Esculin loading experiments
In order to visualize assimilate transport in the phloem an esculin loading assay was conducted. First, to remove the cuticle from source leaves, the leaf surface was gently
roughened with sandpaper. Per leaf 1 ml Esculin (CAS 66778-17-4, Merck, Germany) was loaded as 10 mg/ml solution. To avoid evaporation the loaded leaves were covered with parafilm. Esculin was excited with a HBO 50 mercury lamp, fluorescence was filtered with a DAPI filter and photographed with a Leica DMR Microscope. Lengths were measured using the FIJI software.

3.2.11 Floating assays
For floating assays, each well of a 12-well plate was filled with 5 ml of 50 µM ABA solution. For one well, i.e. one biological replicate, four leaf discs from four different plants were placed on the liquid surface with the abaxial side down. The plate was incubated under greenhouse conditions for six hours before leaf discs were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent RNA extraction.
4 RESULTS

4.1 small RNA mediated regulation of SP6A modulates heat dependent source sink development in potato

4.1.1 Overexpression of codon-optimized SP6A (SP6A\textsuperscript{cop} –HA) in potato

4.1.1.1 Overexpression of SP6A\textsuperscript{cop}-HA strongly affects source sink balance

To study the tuberization process in potato, SP6A was overexpressed in a cultivated potato background. Therefore, codon usage was optimized to improve the expression level of SP6A and avoid transgene silencing. Overall, 24% of the nucleotide sequence in the optimized SP6A sequence was altered (Figure 3).
RESULTS

Nucleotide alignment of 2 sequences: SP6A, SP6A cop

Score = 1485.0, Identities = 397/549 (72%), Positives = 397/549 (72%), Gaps = 27/549 (4%)

**Figure 3.** Sequence alignment of SP6A and SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA construct. Bold letters in SP6A and SP6A<sup>cop</sup> sequence indicate small RNA (SES) binding site. Arrows indicate the putative post-transcriptional cut sites of the SP6A transcript as revealed from 5`RACE. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

This sequence, designated SP6A<sup>cop</sup>, was fused to an HA tag and cloned into a binary vector under the control of the CaMV35S promoter. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58C1 was used to generate the transgenic potato *S. tuberosum* subsp. *tuberosum* L. plants (cv. Solara). Western blot and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) confirmed strong SP6A<sup>cop</sup> expression in four out of ten transgenic lines generated (designated SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA) (Figure 4a, 4b).

During cultivation in tissue culture it could be observed that SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA plantlets grew very weakly and some of them, particularly lines # 3 and # 7, already formed small green tubers.
After the plants had been transferred to the soil, growth was still impaired compared to the wild-type (WT) (Figure 4c). As expected, tuberization was strongly stimulated by \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \) expression (Figure 4c). After two weeks, \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \)-HA plants had already formed tubers whereas WT plants which had up to ten times more green biomass did not even have swollen stolons, and where tuberizing two weeks later (Figure 4c).

![Western Blot analysis](image1)

**Figure 4.** Characterization of transgenic potato plants overexpressing \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \)-HA. (a) Western Blot analysis of transgenic lines (#3, 7, 8, 9) and wild-type plants (WT) using anti-HA antibody. Ponceau-red stained Rubisco band is shown as loading control. (b) Expression of \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \) was determined by qPCR; bars represent the mean of three independent biological replicates ±SD; Significance compared to wild type was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; \( p \)-value < 0.05) (c) phenotype of \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \)-transgenic plants 14d after planting from tissue culture; one representative of 10 plants is shown; the experiment was repeated three times with similar results; scale bar = 5 cm. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

When plants were grown from tubers instead of tissue culture plantlets (Figure 5a), shoot growth was still impaired, since the plants always remained smaller than the WT (Figure 5b), but less pronounced than in tissue culture plants (Figure 3). Strikingly, tuberization of \( SP6A^{\text{cop}} \)-HA plants started again very early, about two weeks before WT plants (Figure 5c).
Since these plants did not suffer from severe growth defects, but exhibited the early tuberization phenotype, all further experiments were performed with plants grown from tubers. Green biomass accumulation was still less in the transgenic lines (about 20-30 g/plant) than in the WT (about 40 g/plant) (Figure 5d), which is consistent with the slower shoot growth. The early initiation of tuberization in SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA lines was associated with a significantly increased total tuber yield (Figure 5e). Total tuber fresh weight was about 1.2-1.9 times more than in the WT. Consequently the harvest index (yield/green biomass) for the transgenics was clearly increased, at least doubled (Figure 5f). Apparently, in the SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA overexpressing plants the translocation of assimilates into the tubers began earlier than in WT plants, suggesting a shift towards sink development.

Figure 5. SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA overexpression in potato plants induces early tuberization and alters biomass partitioning. (a) Tuber induction occurs earlier in SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA lines (#3, 7, 8, 9) than in wild-type plants (WT). Pictures were taken two weeks after planting (scale bar=10 cm) (b) Shoot growth of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA and WT plants was monitored weekly. Values are the mean of 10 plants ± SD (c) Number of tubers formed was counted weekly. Values are the mean of 3 plants ± SD (d) Shoot weight (e) Tuber yield (f) Harvest index (d-f) FW = fresh weight,
Values are the mean of 10 plants ± SD harvested at the age of 9 weeks; p-values were calculated by two tailed t-test by comparing transgenic lines vs. WT (* p < 0.05). (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

4.1.1.2 Overexpression of SP6A\textsuperscript{cop}-HA forces storage organ development

The length of the dormancy period was not altered in the SP6A\textsuperscript{cop}-HA tubers. In fact, breakage of dormant buds occurred basically at the same time (after about three months) as in WT tubers (Figure 6a). After about six months of storage in the dark, WT tubers formed etiolated shoots from the buds. Contrary to this, almost all SP6A\textsuperscript{cop}-HA tubers produced daughter tubers (Figure 6b).

![Figure 6. Phenotype of SP6A\textsuperscript{cop} overexpressing tubers. (a) Phenotype three months after harvest (b) Phenotype six months after harvest (c) Phenotype 10 months after harvest; tubers were stored at room temperature; experiment was repeated four times with similar results; scale bar = 5 cm.](image)

From these daughter tubers again granddaughter- and great-granddaughter tubers were formed within ~10-14 months after harvest when the tubers were stored in the dark (Figure 6c). This process seems to go on until the resources are exhausted (Figure 6c). This suggests that expression of SP6A alters meristem identity and promotes tuber growth rather than shoot growth. Surprisingly when tubers were potted into soil shortly after sprouting had begun, most of the SP6A\textsuperscript{cop}-HA tubers where developing rather normal looking shoots (Figure 7).
Figure 7. Phenotype of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA plants grown from tubers. (a) Shoot phenotype of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA plants eight weeks after potting (b) Plant height measured eight weeks after potting; one bar represents one plant of the population.

For upcoming experiments, only plants at the same stage of development were sampled. However, in some individuals, especially in lines # 3 and # 7 (with strong overexpression) development of green biomass was impaired in such a way that either no shoots appeared above the soil or, if at all, extremely late when the WT was already going into senescence (Figure 7).

4.1.1.3 Overexpression of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA alters meristem identity

In order to understand better why SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA tubers preferentially produced new daughter tubers, microarray experiments were performed on dormant tuber buds (sampled 19 days after harvest) consisting of three transgenic lines (# 3, # 7, #9) and WT. Although there were no visible differences at this time, changes in gene expression could determine the fate of meristematic cells at an early stage. A total of 677 transcripts were detected which showed significantly different (p ≤ 0.05) expression in at least one transgenic line compared to the wild type (Table S1). Among these, 437 transcripts were regulated in the same way in all three transgenic lines. Of these, 245 transcripts with a log2-fold change of ≥ 0.5 or ≤ -0.5 were filtered and analyzed more deeply (Table S1). The functional assignment revealed an accumulation of transcriptional regulators (~ 9 %, 21 transcripts). Eighteen of them were upregulated, while three were slightly down-regulated in the tubers of the transgenic lines (Figure 8).
Figure 8. Expression pattern of transcripts within the functional group “RNA regulation of transcription” differentially expressed in dormant buds of SP6A\textsuperscript{cop-HA} tubers. Normalized values were clustered using Euclidean algorithm under standard conditions in GeneSpring 12.6 GX; gene names marked in bold letters changed more than 2-fold as compared to WT. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

Further analysis revealed that three MADS box transcription factors were present among the upregulated transcripts (Figure 8). They code for \textit{StMADS12}, \textit{StMADS17} and \textit{StMADS18}, respectively [240]. \textit{StMADS17} has homology to AGAMOUS-like (AGL) 3 / SEPALLATA (SEP) 4 from Arabidopsis, \textit{StMADS18} to AGL7, while the closest homolog of \textit{StMADS12} is OsMADS50. In Arabidopsis and rice, these proteins are involved in the regulation of floral meristem development [241–244]. Remarkably, AGL3 / SEP4 plays an important role in the determination of meristem identity [241]. In addition, \textit{PHCLF2}, a gene very similar to \textit{A. thaliana} Curly Leaf (CLF), was also increased in tubers of SP6A\textsuperscript{cop-HA} overexpression lines (Figure 8). \textit{AtCLF} is part of the Polycomb repression complex 2, which plays an important role in the control of FT transcription and therefore flowering time [245–247]. In addition, a transcript similar to \textit{AtARR9} (At3G57040) was up-regulated in the transgenic tuber buds. \textit{AtARR9} is specifically regulated by the circadian clock and cytokinin, which provides a
functional link between the altered meristematic activity observed in tubers of SP6A^{cop}-HA plants and the cytokinin signaling pathway [248].

In fact, the data suggest few changes in the abundance of transcripts involved in hormone metabolism and signaling, including upregulation of cytokinin oxidase and down-regulation of an ethylene receptor and ethylene sensor protein and a GA2 oxidase (Table S3).

Interestingly, the amount of mRNA of the endogenous SP6A transcript was reduced in the transgenics about 50-70 %, indicating a feedback response to SP6A^{cop} overexpression. The change in transcript amounts of SP6A and SP6A^{cop} was verified by qPCR (Figure 9).

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. Expression of SP6A and SP6A^{cop} in dormant buds of tubers of SP6A^{cop}-HA overexpressing potato plants. (a) SP6A (b) SP6A^{cop} bars represent mean of 4 biological replicates ± SD; p-values were calculated by two tailed t-test by comparing transgenic lines vs. WT (\* p < 0.05). (from Lehretz \textit{et al.}, 2019)

In summary, this microarray analysis supports the idea that overexpression of SP6A^{cop} resulted in transcriptional changes that alter the meristem identity, leading to early initiation of tuberization and shifting source-sink equilibrium in favor of sink development. This result can thus be brought in line with the observation of a daughter tuber phenotype in Figure 6.

4.1.2 Regulation of SP6A by a small RNA

4.1.2.1 Identification of a small RNA as likely reason for the extreme shift in the source sink balance of SP6A^{cop} -HA plants

Overexpression of SP6A^{cop} led to a strong phenotype which had not been described for transgenic plants overexpressing an unmodified SP6A [81,87]. In those previous studies only comparatively mild changes in plant height, yield and tuber number were observed. Therefore alternative regulatory mechanisms were considered.

One of these could be post-transcriptional regulation, for example miRNA-mediated cleavage of the transcript, which may not happen to the codon-optimized SP6A^{cop} mRNA. To further investigate possible regulation of SP6A by miRNAs, RNA ligase-mediated rapid amplification
of 5 'cDNA ends (RLM-5'RACE) was performed and free 5' ends of the mRNA were identified in a collaborating laboratory at the James Hutton Institute (Dundee, UK). Sequencing of 10 clones revealed four putative cleavage sites in the SP6A transcript (Figure 1a). Subsequently, in a BLASTn search for complementary regions in the S. phureja DM1-3 genome, a short genomic fragment of SP6A comprising 10 bp before and after the cut sites was used [249]. In addition to SP6A itself, 96 hits were found (Table S2), all of which were screened for putative stem-loop structures indicative for precursor miRNAs [250]. The criteria were i) a hairpin-like secondary RNA structure of about 80-100 nt length, ii) the relative symmetric appearance of the stem loop structure, i.e. very few asymmetric bulges, and iii) an approximately identical number of nucleotides (less than 3 nt excess on one side), and iv) symmetric loops of normally 1-3 nt in size [250]. In this bioinformatic analysis, a DNA sequence was revealed encoding a putative miRNA corresponding to the 123/124 bp cleavage site # 3 (Figure 1). According to the current gene model of potato [249], this putative small RNA is encoded in an intergenic region upstream of a conserved gene of unknown function (Sotub03g018760) on the antisense DNA strand.

This conserved gene of unknown function seems to be extremely low expressed since in silico analysis showed that there are very few reads in RNAseq experiments (http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/cgi-bin/gbrowse/potato/?name=Sotub03g018760.1.1) which could also be verified by qPCR. Overall this gene was low expressed. Ct values for Sotub03g018760 were obtained about 10 cycles later than for ubiquitin (UBI) and no significant changes were detected between control and elevated temperatures (Figure 10).

Figure 10. Expression of Sotub03g018760 in leaves of WT potato plants. bars represent mean of 4 biological replicates ± SD; p-values were calculated by two tailed t-test by comparing heat vs. control (* p < 0.05).

Next several more characteristics of the small RNA were determined in silico. As compared to the query sequence, there is a 1-bp mismatch between the putative small RNA and its target site in Solara (Figure 11a). Folding of the locus around the putative small RNA using MFold [251] revealed a hairpin-like stem-loop structure that is typical of miRNAs (Figure 11a).
and gives a free energy of ΔG -16.20. The locus was therefore referred to as "Suppressor of Expression of SP6A", SES.

![Diagram](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>small RNA sequences</th>
<th>target</th>
<th>expect</th>
<th>UPE</th>
<th>mismatches</th>
<th>mode of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTTGAAAGATTCAACACACAT</td>
<td>SP6A</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19.99</td>
<td>2/22</td>
<td>translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTTGAAAGATTCAACACACAT</td>
<td>SP6A</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>2/21</td>
<td>cleavage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTGAAGGTCAACACACAT</td>
<td>SP6A</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>cleavage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGAAAGGTCAACACACAT</td>
<td>SP6A</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>1/19</td>
<td>cleavage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGAAAGGTCAACACACAT</td>
<td>SP6A&lt;sup&gt;cop&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>21.42</td>
<td>4/19</td>
<td>cleavage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. *In silico* identification of a small regulatory RNA suppressing expression of *SP6A* (SES). (a) Schematic depiction of the precursor RNA (SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup>), the putative small RNA (SES) and the binding site in the *SP6A* transcript (PGSC0003DMT400060057). Folding was performed using Mfold (b) Expectation scores and minimum energy for unfolding the target site (UPE) of putative sRNA-sequences for *SP6A* and *SP6A<sup>cop</sup>* according to psRNA target. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

The online target prediction tool psRNAtarget (Scheme V2) [252] confirmed that the endogenous *SP6A* transcript is most likely a target for the predicted miRNA (Figure 11b). In contrast, *SP6A<sup>cop</sup>* appears to be a rather poor target, as it has a high expectation value and a higher minimum energy for unfolding the target site (Figure 11b). A comparison between the putative precursor RNA and *SP6A* revealed a 19 nt sequence that most likely targets *SP6A* and leads to cleavage. Therefore, this sequence was used as a probe in all other approaches. Expected values for longer sequences (20-22 nt) were higher (poor target) (Figure 11b).

For further experiments, a 91nt sequence containing the putative pre-miRNA was selected because it matched best the criteria for putative miRNAs described above. It was cloned into an expression vector and designated as SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup>. In addition, a genomic region of 2175 bp covering the small RNA together with its putative promoter, termed SES<sup>genomic</sup>, was cloned into the promoter-less vector pBin19. Remarkably, the genomic site of SES, and in particular SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup> containing the small RNA, could not be found in the genome of the closely related crop plant tomato [253,254] (Figure 12). Furthermore, comparison with other members of Solanaceae such as *N. benthamiana* and *S. pennelli* revealed that SES does not appear in these species and could therefore be specific for potato (Table S3).
RESULTS

Figure 12. The genomic locus of the small RNA (SES) coding region is different between potato and tomato. (a) comparison between potato and tomato chromosome 03 using SyMAP; syntenic genome segments are depicted in colour; red: same orientation; green: inverted orientation (b) close-up of boxed sequence regions based on a syntenic block analysis made with Mauve; a short sequence segment comprising the putative pre-miRNA is present in potato (red box) but not in tomato; the region surrounding the small RNA locus is characterized by many small-scale rearrangements; syntenic genome segments are depicted in colour; red: same orientation; green: inverted orientation; black boxes indicate exons of the Conserved Gene of Unknown Function Sotub03g018760.1.1 and Solyc03g093980.1.1. SES-primRNA is shown in a red box. (c) Alignment of the small RNA locus SES in potato comprising the putative pre-miRNA, SES-primRNA, (underlined letters) with the mature small RNA SES (bold letters) which is not present in the corresponding locus in tomato. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

4.1.2.2 Verification and characterization of the SP6A targeting small RNA in planta

In order to test the biological activity of the predicted miRNA, a fragment encoding SES-primRNA was amplified and cloned under control of the CaMV35S promoter (35S::SES-primRNA) into a plant expression vector to perform transient expression assays in N. benthamiana. For this purpose, constructs containing either SP6A or SP6Acop alone or together with the SES-primRNA...
RESULTS
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miRNA construct were infiltrated and mRNA levels were measured over a time course of 36 hours. There was an increase in expression of SP6A over time, but co-infiltration of the SES pri-miRNA construct decreased the level of SP6A mRNA (Figure 13a), suggesting that SP6A mRNA is degraded in the presence of the predicted miRNA. In contrast, co-expression of 35S: SP6A<sup>cop</sup> with 35S::SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup> did not result in lower accumulation of SP6A<sup>cop</sup> mRNA (13b), confirming that SP6A<sup>cop</sup> is a poor target for the predicted miRNA.

![Graphs](a) SP6A (b) SP6A<sup>cop</sup> (c) SP6A (d) SP6A<sup>cop</sup>

**Figure 13.** Identification of a small regulatory RNA suppressing expression of SP6A (SES) via *in silico* analysis and transient assays. (a,b) Transient expression of 35S::SP6A and 35S::SP6A<sup>cop</sup> in *N. benthamiana* using *A. tumefaciens*; relative expression with or without co-infiltration of 35S::SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup> was determined by qPCR (c,d) Transient expression of 35S::SP6A and 35S::SP6A<sup>cop</sup> in *N. benthamiana* using *A. tumefaciens*; relative expression with or without co-infiltration of a construct bearing the genomic sequence of the SES locus (SES<sup>genomic</sup>) was determined by qPCR. Values are the mean of four biological replicates ± SD; statistically significances between samples were determined by two-tailed tests (*, p < 0.05). Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. (from Lehretz *et al.*, 2019)

In addition, it was of interest whether the genomic sequence of SES (SES<sup>genomic</sup>), which covers the small RNA along with a putative promoter, also exhibits biological activity in this assay when cloned into the promoter-less vector pBin19. Transient expression in *N. benthamiana* along with SP6A also caused downregulation of SP6A mRNA, similar to the results obtained with 35S::SES<sup>pri-miRNA</sup> (Figure 13c). As expected, there was no down-
regulation of $SP6A^{\text{cop}}$ mRNA in the same experimental setup (Figure 13d). Thus, the presence and biological activity of the putative miRNA was verified in silico as well as in transient expression assays in planta. Furthermore, the expression of the small RNA SES could be verified by qPCR (Figure 14a).

In order to verify the sequence specificity, the same transient assay was conducted with a new construct, where the $SP6A$ transcript sequence was exclusively modified at the putative binding site, $SP6A^{\text{mod}}$ (Figure 14b). Since the $SP6A^{\text{mod}}$ transcript could not be affected by coexpression of SES, this narrows down the SES binding site to the expected locus (Figure 14b).

![Figure 14](image1.jpg)

**Figure 14. Characterization of SES/$SP6A$ interaction in transient assays.** (a) Verification of smallRNA (SES) presence in transient assays via MiScript qPCR. *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated either with $SP6A$ or with $SP6A$ and a construct comprising the genomic locus of the small RNA ($SES^{\text{genomic}}$). Samples were taken 1 day post infiltration and analysed for the presence of SES by miScript qPCR. Values are the mean of 4 biological replicates ± SD; Delta Ct values were significantly (*p<0.05) lower when the genomic locus of $SES$ ($SES^{\text{genomic}}$) was co-infiltrated, no Ct values could be detected in water control. (b) Transient expression of 35S::$SP6A^{\text{mod}}$ in *N. benthamiana* using *A. tumefaciens*; relative expression with or without co-infiltration of a construct bearing the genomic sequence of the SES locus ($SES^{\text{genomic}}$) was determined by qPCR. Values are the mean of four biological replicates ± SD; Statistical significances between samples were determined by two-tailed tests (*, p < 0.05). Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. (c) $SP6A^{\text{mod}}$ sequence differs from endogenous $SP6A$ only in the binding site for SES, shown in underlined letters. Nucleotide exchanges are marked in bold letters, bases are counted from start codon. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)
Moreover, the relevance of this post-transcriptional gene regulation in the commercial potato variety Solara should be analyzed. Thus, the expression patterns of \textit{SP6A} and the small RNA in leaves during the life cycle of a potato plant, e.g. between 14 and 70 days after planting were determined. Under greenhouse conditions, plants began to tuberize between 28d and 35d after planting (\textbf{Figure 15}).

\textbf{Figure 15. Development of WT potato plants.} Phenotype of WT potato plants sampled weekly over 9 weeks. Numbers indicate days after planting into soil from tissue culture; tuber induction occurred between 28 and 35 days, experiment was performed twice with similar results. (from Lehretz \textit{et al.}, 2019)

At the same time, the expression of \textit{SP6A} was induced 8-fold at 35d shortly after the onset of tuber induction. Its expression increased steadily as the plants aged, suggesting that \textit{SP6A} also plays a role in the maintenance of tuber growth (\textbf{Figure 16a}). In contrast, the transcript amount of \textit{SES} decreased rapidly after tuber induction (28d) and remained low during further plant development (\textbf{Figure 16b}). This implies that \textit{SES} also suppresses \textit{SP6A} transcript accumulation during early stages of development, e.g. before tuberization.

\textbf{Figure 16. Expression of \textit{SES} and its target \textit{SP6A} during development.} (a) Expression of \textit{SP6A} and (b) \textit{SES} expression during plant development in source leaves. Arrow indicates time point of tuber induction. Bars represent the mean of four biological replicates ± SD; * p-value < 0.05; two tailed \textit{t}-test. Experiment was performed twice with similar results. (from Lehretz \textit{et al.}, 2019)

Elevated temperatures are known to have a strong negative impact on tuberization, which can even suppress tuberization completely, depending on when the stress is applied [255].
At the same time, the expression of SP6A decreases [152]. To investigate whether the identified small RNA plays a role in this regulation, the expression of SES and SP6A in leaves of plants grown under ambient conditions (22°C / 20°C) and at elevated temperatures (27°C / 29°C) were analyzed. While SP6A mRNA levels declined, SES expression increased at elevated temperatures (Figure 17, a, b).

**Figure 17. Expression of SES and its target SP6A under elevated temperatures.** Changes in (A) SP6A and (B) SES expression in source leaves after heat treatment for three weeks as compared to control. Bars show the mean of three biological replicates; * p-value < 0.05; two tailed t-test; heat was applied for three weeks starting from day 28; experiment was repeated three times with similar results. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

In order to understand the putative regulation of SP6A by SES under heat in more detail, discontinuous heat treatment before and after tuberization was applied, where growth periods of heat altered with control conditions (Figure 18a). It turned out that SP6A expression was rising under control conditions which did not occur under altering heat conditions. In fact, SP6A levels were at least 70 % lower (Figure 18b). In contrast, expression of SES was about four-fold increased. Remarkably, SES expression did not fall after one week recovery in between, which is in line with SP6A levels (Figure 18c).
As a likely cause of heat induction two putative binding sites for heat shock factor (HSF) were identified [256–258] in an in silico approach (Table S4). These are located less than 2 kb upstream of SES (Figure 19).

In summary, the reciprocal expression pattern of SP6A and SES suggests that both developmental and environmental changes in SP6A transcript levels are modulated by the small RNA in addition to the known regulation via the CDF/CONSTANS module.

4.1.2.3 Heat-resistant tuberization through overexpression of a Short Tandem Target Mimicry Construct

Short tandem target mimicry (STTM) approaches are widely used to sequester endogenous small RNAs and alter their functions [237,259,260]. This allows higher expression of the target gene, especially under conditions where the small RNA is active. Using this strategy, an STTM construct was designed based on a 88 nt spacer, cloned under the control of the CaMV35S promoter (Figure 20) and transformed into S. tuberosum (cv. Solara).

Out of 34 transformants five transgenic lines were selected which exhibited high expression of the STTM construct. (Figure 21a). Under control conditions at a young age, STTM plants
RESULTS

appeared to show only slightly earlier tuberization (Figure 21b) and a bit more tuber biomass (Figure 21c), but a higher number of tubers (Figure 21d) being in line with higher SP6A expression (Figure 21e).

Figure 21. Characterization of Short Tandem Target Mimicry (STTM) overexpressing potato plants. (a) RT-PCR showing expression of STTM construct in five different transgenic lines; PC = positive control (pBinAR-35S::STTM). Plants were harvested at the age of 35 days and following data were obtained: (b) Tuberization (c) Total tuber weight (d) Tuber number (e) SP6A expression; bars represent mean of 4-9 biological replicates ± SD; p-values were calculated by two tailed t-test by comparing transgenic lines vs. WT (* p < 0.05). (from Lehretz et al., 2019)
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Figure 22. Overexpression of a Short Tandem Target Mimicry (STTM) construct sequestering SES can overcome heat-mediated repression of tuberization. (a) SP6A expression in leaves of five week old plants grown under elevated temperature for four weeks. Bars show means of four biological replicates ± SD (b) Relative expression of STTM RNA (c) Tuber number in five week old STTM overexpressing and WT plants after four weeks of heat treatment. Bars show mean of five plants ± SD. (a-c) p-values were calculated by two tailed t-test by comparing transgenic lines vs. WT (* p < 0.05). (d) Belowground phenotype of five week old plants grown under elevated temperature for four weeks; scale bar = 5 cm. One representative of five plants is shown. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results. (from Lehretz et al., 2019)

To study the STTM effect under heat, five plants per line were exposed to elevated temperatures one week after transfer to the greenhouse. Under these conditions, SES is strongly induced while the expression of SP6A is downregulated, resulting in the inhibition of tuber formation. The STTM construct should therefore be particularly efficient under these circumstances. Thus, leaf samples were taken after four weeks of heat and SP6A expression was measured. The STTM-expressing lines showed a two- to four-fold increase in SP6A mRNA levels at elevated temperatures compared to WT (Figure 22a). Expression of the STTM transcript was similar in all selected transgenic lines (Figure 22b). At elevated temperatures, WT plants did not produce stolons or tubers (Figure 22c). However, all transgenic lines produced tubers under these conditions (Figure 22d). Therefore, expression of the STTM construct can overcome the small RNA-mediated down regulation of SP6A expression under elevated atmospheric temperatures and thus promote tuberization.
4.2 Increasing potato drought and heat tolerance by overexpression of Hexokinase and SP6A

4.2.1 Biotechnological characterization of HXK+SP6A transgenic potato

4.2.1.1 Reduced transpiration in HXK+SP6A potato plants leads to increased water use efficiency

Previous work has shown that a convincing enhancement of tuberization under comparatively mild but for potato rather harsh heat treatment could be achieved by abolishing the endogenous small RNA SES as described above. Although the effect was clearly present it was comparatively mild and could not extensively promote tuberization extending beyond heat stress also under ambient conditions. Thus, the following approach aimed again at a rather classic overexpression of SP6A, which might give stronger effects. Anyhow, here the wildtype sequence and not a codon optimized one was used since eventually undesired phenotypes for the latter one, such as daughter tuber formation, could be seen previously as described above. Therefore the leaf and stem specific StLS1 promoter which is active in green plant tissues was used [261].

Moreover, under heat drought is very likely to occur and becomes an agronomic problem. In order to reduce evaporation and thus increase water use efficiency overexpressing hexokinase 1 from A. thaliana (AtHXK1) under the stomata specific KST1 promoter was shown to be an efficient tool [205–207,262,263] and therefore was included in a transgene construct to improve water use efficiency.

Plasmids bearing the constructs were created using the Golden Gate system [231] and transformed into the commercial potato cultivar Solara using agrobacteria mediated gene transfer. Out of 34 transformants 4 transgenic plants were selected (Figure 23a) because they exhibited both expression of AtHXK1 (Figure 23b) as well as a 2-4 fold increased SP6A expression at the age of three weeks (Figure 23c).
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Figure 23. HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants 21d after planting from tissue culture; scale bar 10cm (b) Expression of AtHXK1 (c) Expression of SP6A in source leaves (d) Transpiration (e) Assimilation (f) Water use efficiency at 28 days; values are the mean of four biological replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05)

First of all, for a biotechnological characterization, the expected positive effect of AtHXK1 on water consumption was verified. At different plant ages a reduced transpiration rate could be observed for all transgenic plants (Figure 23d) which was also confirmed by the stomata width/length ratio (Figure 24a). To verify this independently, the number of stomata on the abaxial side of the leaves was counted where it turned out that the stomatal density was not significantly altered to the WT (Figure 24b). As expected the reduced stomatal opening also led to a bit less assimilation. However, the effect seems to be negligible as the reduction was rather mild (Figure 23e) thus leading to an increased water use efficiency (Figure 23f).

Figure 24. Guard cells of HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Guard cell width to length ratio is smaller in the transgenics indicative of closed stomata; bars show mean ± SD, n=40; Significance compared to wild type was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (b) Stomatal number is not significantly altered in the transgenic plants, values are the mean of 10 replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05)
The observation of a reduced shoot length but more bushy growth (Figure 23a) was verified by leaf area measurements. Although the plants were smaller (Figure 25a) the leaves of the transgenics were smaller (Figure 24b) an increased leaf number per plant (Figure 24c) resulted in a bit more total leaf area per plant (Figure 24a).

Figure 25. Shoot phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants at the age of 5 weeks. (a) Plant height, bars show mean of four plants ± SD, Significance compared to wild type was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (b) Number of leaves per plant, bars show mean of four plants ± SD, Significance compared to wild type was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05). (c) Mean leaf area, bars show mean of all leaves of one plant of four plants per line, Significance compared to wild type was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (d) Total leaf area per plant; bars show mean of 4 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05)

For further studies physiological and biochemical parameters at single and double stress conditions were determined. All plants were first grown for 4 weeks at well-watered control conditions (approx. 65% RWC) to bring all individuals to a comparable physiological and developmental stage (Figure 25a).
For one week half of the population was adapted to drought conditions (approx. 40 % RWC) (Figure 26a). Soil humidity was adjusted by daily watering. In order to investigate heat effects, one half of the respectively treated groups was shifted to elevated temperatures and grown for two more weeks until harvest. For each condition water transpiration was determined, which turned out to be reduced in the transgenic lines in control (WC) (Figure 26b) and even in drought (DC). Here, also the WT strongly reduces evaporation (Figure 26b) but still the transgenics show more reduced values. Under elevated temperatures but well-watered (WH) the WT strongly increases water evaporation, once more to a lower extent in the transgenics (Figure 26b). Under double stress conditions, i.e. drought and heat, (DH) again the transgenics were more effective in keeping the stomata closed. Under all treatments, assimilation turned out to be, if at all, only mildly reduced in comparison to the respective WT (Figure 26c). These results were quite promising as a much lower assimilation could have been expected with the stomata being more closed and thus reducing gas exchange. Consequently the water use efficiency (WUE) was increased under ambient watered conditions (WC), drought (DC), heat (WH) as well as double stress (DH) (Figure 26d).
4.2.1.2 Overexpression of SP6A maintains tuber formation and tuber starch content under drought and heat conditions

The focus of this project was a biotechnological improvement of the tuber crop potato and thus yield parameters were of special interest. As a first phenotypic effect a reduced shoot growth of the transgenics under all conditions was visible as seen before (Figure 27a, S1). Under drought conditions a reduction of plant height as well as green biomass was present in the WT, as expected, but not that strong in the transgenics (Figure 27a, b). However, an increased plant height under well-watered heat conditions (WH), known as shade avoidance phenotype, could be observed in all plants but less pronounced in the transgenics, especially under combined drought and heat (DH) (Figure 27a). A reduction in green biomass was seen in all plants with increasing stress (Figure 27b). Strikingly, in the transgenics this abiotic stress seems to hardly affect tuber yield as values under control were about 30-70 % higher and often not significantly reduced after stress application (Figure 27c). In the WT, double stress (DH) lead to a yield reduction of roughly 70 % whereas the strongest decrease in the transgenics was around 15 % but not significant (Figure 27c). Overall, yield in double stress was 4-5 times higher than the WT (Figure 27c). Although mean tuber weight was lower (Figure 27d), a massively increased tuber number (Figure 27e) were probably contributing to higher yield. Finally, the harvest index of the double transgenics was 2-8 times higher (Figure 27f). These data were obtained in two independent experiments as well (Figures S2, S3).
Figure 27. Yield data for HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Plant height (b) Green biomass (c) Yield (d) Stolon number (e) Tuber number (f) Harvest index; plants were harvested at the age of 7 weeks; values are the mean of 4 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05)

The most likely reason for this phenomenon could be enhanced tuber formation driven by the tuber inductor SP6A. Higher SP6A levels in the transgenics even under heat could be verified by qPCR (Figure 28a). In contrast in the WT SP6A levels decreased upon drought, but even more upon heat (Figure 28a). Double stress conditions led to the strongest reduction. This expression pattern correlates very well with yield reduction (Pearson 0.91) (Figure 28b), thus underlying the importance of SP6A for tuber formation (Figure 27c).
Figure 28. Influence of SP6A expression on tuber yield. (a) SP6A expression in leaves of HXK+SP6A potato plants; bars show mean of four replicates ± SD. Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*: p-value < 0.05) (b) Coherence between SP6A expression and tuber yield in WT under different stress treatments.

As a possible reason for downregulation of SP6A a small RNA called SES was identified before. In this experiment an upregulation under heat (WH) was visible as expected, but not under drought conditions and double stress (Figure 29a). Therefore alternative regulation mechanisms such as the CDF/CO module were considered. Analysis of the respective genes via qPCR did not reveal any significant changes on transcriptional level between the stress conditions, neither for CDF1, COL1 or COL2 (Figure 29b-d).
The HXK+SP6A plants exhibited a stable tuber fresh weight at a high level under various conditions. However, since more tuber fresh weight in the transgenics would have no agricultural value with reduced dry matter, the dry weight to fresh weight (DW/FW) ratios of harvested tubers were measured to get a first impression.

A slight increase in DW/FW ratio was present in the transgenics (Figure 30a). Concerning starch content, under control conditions no clear changes were observed (Figure 30b). Upon drought in ambient temperature no significant reduction could be spotted in the WT. In contrast, starch levels were clearly reduced upon heat treatment and even further in double stress, as expected (Figure 30b). This is consistent with previous findings [152]. Surprisingly under stress conditions the values of the transgenics were always higher than in the corresponding WT and were not significantly altered to ambient conditions (Figure 30b).

4.2.2 Physiological characterization of HXK+SP6A transgenic potato

4.2.2.1 HXK+SP6A plants confers drought avoidance through reduced water consumption

AtHXK1 was shown to reduce evaporation when expressed in guard cells of *A.thaliana* and citrus [205,206]. Although the molecular mechanism is yet unsolved, this study provides further evidence that the desired effect is also present in the crop plant potato. A reduced
evaporation is assumed to lead to reduced water loss and thus cause less drought stress. To investigate this deeper several parameters were measured.

The content of the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) is commonly used as a marker for drought stress. ABA was measured in WT and transgenic lines in source leaves in response to drought, heat and combined stress (Figure 31a). Under well-watered control as well as heat conditions there was no difference between WT and transgenics. The same was true for watered heat conditions. In the WT, ABA levels strongly increased upon drought and combined stress (about 25 and 6 fold respectively), while the increase in the transgenics was only about 2-3 fold in both drought stress conditions (Figure 31a).

![Figure 31. Drought response in leaves of HXX+SP6A potato plants. (a) ABA contents under different stress treatments, values are the mean of three biological replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (b) P5CS1 expression in leaves, values are the mean of four biological replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (c) proline contents, measured from same samples as in (a) Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (d) P5CS1 expression in floated leaf discs; floating assay with 50 µM ABA, values are the mean of four biological replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05)](image-url)

To further corroborate this finding, the expression of the ABA responsive gene P5CS1, an enzyme catalyzing the rate limiting step in proline biosynthesis was examined [264]. The expression pattern reflects quite well the ABA contents (Figure 31b). Similar to the changes in ABA levels there was a strong induction in the WT under drought and double stress,
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whereas the increase in the HXK+SP6A plants was rather mild. Additionally, proline measurements are also in line with these results (Figure 31c). Again higher levels were observed in the WT under drought and double stress. Under well-watered conditions heat had no effect on neither ABA, P5CS1 nor proline contents. Together these results suggest that HXK+SP6A plants did only weakly respond to drought stress.

In order to investigate whether HXK+SP6A expressing plants are impaired in sensing pathways an ABA floating assay was performed. Therefore, leaf discs were incubated on 50 μM ABA solution for six hours and expression of P5CS1 was determined afterwards. This revealed that all plants were able to sense ABA and react accordingly as implied by P5CS1 expression (Fig 29d).

4.2.2.2 HXK+SP6A plants show increased sink strength and reduced assimilate leakage

In several studies an enhanced tuberization was achieved by SP6A overexpression, as well as during this thesis [81,87]. Since the molecular mechanisms behind it are still poorly understood, the associated physiological and metabolic changes should be investigated deeper in HXK+SP6A plants. Therefore the plants were characterized morphologically. Already at a very young stage these plants showed a dwarf phenotype (Figure 32a) but were more branched (Figure 32b) which can even be seen in tissue culture. Later during plant growth these changes become more evident with additional shoots emerging from the basal nodes of the shoot contributing to the bushy phenotype (Figure 32c).
Figure 32. Phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) shoot phenotype at one week after planting (wap) from tissue culture (b) shoot phenotype at 2 wap (c) stem phenotype at 2 wap (d) flower phenotype at 5 wap (e) tuber phenotype at five wap (f) stem phenotype at six wap (a-f) scale bar 5cm, one representative of ten plants is shown each, experiment was repeated three times with similar results.
Mature plants of the WT flower around 5-6 weeks after transfer to the greenhouse (Figure 32d) whereas flower buds of the transgenics mostly ceased development, turned yellow and eventually fell off premature. When flowers developed they remained rather closed and were only present in inflorescences of about 1-5 flowers whereas the WT developed 10-15 flowers per inflorescence (Figure 32d). A repression of flowering by overexpression of SP6A has been reported previously [265,266].

As stated before tuber formation was strongly increased indicating a shift in source sink balance (Figure 32e). Another very evident phenotype was the purple color of the stem epidermis which was present in the WT but absent in the transgenics (Figure 32f). Moreover, the axillary buds appeared rather dormant in the HXK+SP6A plants (Figure 32f). The measurement of stem diameters conformed that the transgenic lines had about 30% thinner stems (Figure 33a). In contrast they possess about three times more of them (Figure 33b).

![Stem phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants.](image)

**Figure 33.** Stem phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) stem diameter, values are the mean of 15 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (b) stem number, values are the mean of 15 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05) (c) stem cross section under bright field; scale bar 5 mm (d) stem cross section under UV light; scale bar 1mm.

A microscopic analysis of stem cross sections of WT and line #17 provided further insights into the anatomy. Stems were of triangular shape (Figure 33c) and of rather identical morphology. Under UV light which detects chlorophyll and lignin autofluorescence no clear differences was observed besides the reduced overall diameter (Figure 33d).
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Figure 34. Sugar contents in stem cross sections taken of HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Glucose (b) Fructose (c) Sucrose (d) Sucrose/(Glucose+Fructose) ratio, samples taken between 6th and 7th leaf from the top values are the mean of five biological replicates ± SD, significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05).

Since these findings suggest that more sucrose is transported through the phloem sugar contents in the stem cross sections taken between source leaves (the 6th and 7th leaf from the top) were measured. Compared to WT in line #17 glucose and fructose contents per mg FW were decreased (Figure 34a, b) but sucrose contents (Figure 34c) and with it the ratio sucrose/hexoses were increased (Figure 34d) indicative of a reduced export into the apoplast and an increased sucrose content in the phloem.

In order to visualize the sugar transport through the stem the fluorescent sucrose analog esculin was loaded into source leaves of the WT and HXK+SP6A #3 and #27 (Figure 35). After 3-4 hours a very faint fluorescence could be observed in WT stem until the first nodium rootwards of the loaded leaf (Figure 35a). Further downwards, in direction of the tuber sink hardly any fluorescence was visible (Figure 35b, c).
Figure 35. Visualization of esculin transport in longitudinal sections of stems of HXK+SP6A potato plants (a) cut between 0 and 1st nodium rootwards of the loaded source leaf (b) cut between 1st and 2nd nodium rootwards of the loaded source leaf (c) cut between 4th and 5th nodium rootwards of the loaded source leaf; all pictures taken under UV light, blue: esculin; red: chlorophyll autofluorescence, scale bar 0.5 mm.

In contrast in both transgenic lines a brighter fluorescence was observed up to five nodia rootwards. Although a signal was visible it became weaker with increasing distance from the esculin-loaded leaf. This might suggest a lower leakage of sucrose out of the sieve elements in HXK+SP6A plants as compared to WT.

From this one might assume that already at an early developmental stage more assimilates are transported to the bottom end of the stem and might promote root growth. As shown in Figure 36a plantlets were grown from tissue culture for two weeks in sand before harvest. Except for line #3 the transgenics showed an about 20-30% increased root length, whereas growth of green biomass, measured as dry weight, was hardly affected at this time point (Figure 36a, b, c).

Figure 36. Root phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants at the age of 2 weeks (a) overall plant morphology, scale bar = 10cm (b) root length (c) shoot dry weight (d) root dry weight (e) total biomass (dry weight) (f) root dry weight/shot dry weight ratio; bars show mean of 6 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05).

However, root dry weight was also increased in three out of four lines (Figure 36d), which reflects quite well the higher root length. Since total biomass (dry weight) was not altered in the transgenics (Figure 36e), the ratio of root dry matter to shoot dry matter was shifted towards the root sink in all HXK+SP6A plants (Figure 36f). This is illustrated by the fact that around 32% of total biomass is accumulating in the root system in the WT whereas in the
transgenics the proportion is around 40%. Altogether, this points to a more efficient transport of assimilates to the belowground sink organs in HXK+SP6A plants.

A lower rate of assimilate leakage from the phloem should increase the substrate supply for starch biosynthesis in the tubers. During starch deposition growing tubers are characterized by a high activity of sucrose synthase (SUSY). Since phloem unloading occurs symplasmically at this developmental stage, activities of cell wall-bound invertase (cWInv) are quite low. Heat was shown to reduce SUSY but increase cWInv activity. Since HXK+SP6A plants show enhanced transport through the phloem, these transgenics might have an advantage under elevated temperatures. Therefore activities of SUSY as well as cWInv were measured in growing tubers under heat.

![Graph](image)

Figure 37. SUSY and cWInv activities in tubers of HXK+SP6A potato plants at the age of 6 weeks (a) SUSY activity (b) cWInv activity; bars show mean of 5 biological replicates ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05).

Under control conditions no clear difference was detected between WT and transgenics (Figure 37a), whereas under heat treatment SUSY activity was strongly decreased in the WT. Strikingly, in the transgenics SUSY activity remained unaffected by heat explaining the higher starch contents in the tubers than the WT under those conditions (Figure 30). The activity of cWInv was not significantly changed under control conditions but increased twofold under heat in the WT whereas the HXK+SP6A overexpressing lines did not show significant changes (Figure 37b).
5 DISCUSSION

5.1 SP6A as an important regulator of source-sink-balance

5.1.1 Overexpression of SP6A strongly increases sink strength

In order to secure potato tuber yield even under deteriorating environmental conditions a deeper understanding of developmental and environmental regulation of tuberization is of outstanding interest. Tuberization in potato is a highly complex process involving major changes in morphology, transcriptome, proteome and metabolome [267,268]. It underlies hormonal control for example by gibberellins and cytokinins as well as abiotic stimuli [269].

Even though adaptation to changing climate conditions has taken place over several thousands of years, modern potato cultivars still tuberize most efficiently under SD photoperiods and cool temperatures. In contrast, LD as well as high temperatures (especially during the dark period) are perceived by the leaves and inhibit tuberization [9,13,80]. One key regulator of day length sensitivity is the CDF/CONSTANS module. Due to sequence alterations CDF1 is no longer recognized by its repressor FKF1 which is active under LD. Thus, it can inhibit CONSTANS and mediate tuber formation also under LD [78]. The most pivotal regulator of tuberization might be a close homolog of FLOWERING LOCUS T in Arabidopsis, referred to as SP6A, identified as the tuberigen [81]. SP6A is indirectly activated by CDF1 and repressed by CONSTANS. Overexpression of SP6A enhances tuberization whereas its knock-down prevents tuber formation [81,87]. Under favoring conditions SP6A is produced in the leaves and transported via the phloem to the stolons. There, it interacts with FDL via 14-3-3 proteins to form a tuberization activation complex [87]. Still many more additional players described recently are involved in this process [269–273].

During this thesis the role of SP6A was investigated using transgenic potato plants. Hence SP6A was overexpressed in a codon optimized variant (SP6A_{cop-HA}) driven from the CaMV35S promoter (Figure 3). This could extremely accelerate tuberization underlining the crucial role of this protein in tuber initiation. However, severe shoot growth defects were observed (Figure 4), which have not been described in transgenic plants where an unmodified SP6A was used [81,87]. In those studies plants were of rather normal overall morphology and, if at all, only slightly smaller. Tuber formation occurred earlier, but source-sink-balance was not reported to be as tremendously affected as described in this thesis.
The fact that in $SP6A^{cop}$-HA overexpressors the tuber sink was formed before the source leaves were sufficiently developed indicates that SP6A has the ability to control assimilate allocation and thus shifts the source-sink-balance (Figures 4 and 5).

This hypothesis is further supported by the fact that stored tubers formed daughter tubers instead of shoots implying an altered meristem identity. This was observed for all tubers when stored in the dark at room temperature (Figure 6). When tubers were brought into soil this phenomenon occurred as well, but under this condition most tubers developed shoots (Figure 7). Probably the surrounding soil provides signals which induce shoot rather than tuber development. To elucidate the molecular reasons causing the daughter tuber phenotype transcript profiling experiments were carried out with dormant buds of WT and transgenic tubers sampled shortly after harvest. It was assumed that the meristem identity of dormant buds is already predetermined before the morphology of the sprout can be seen. Indeed, the results suggest a reprogramming of the transcriptome of meristematic cells of $SP6A^{cop}$-HA overexpressing tubers (Figure 8). In particular several transcription factors controlling flower meristem identity in Arabidopsis or rice, for example AGAMOUS likes or MADS box transcription factors, are altered in expression [241–244,274]. Although the function of the potato homologs in tuber development is not clear, this finding implies that the expression of these genes is linked to the different meristem identity, finally leading to daughter tuber formation.

Furthermore, hormone signaling via cytokinin (CK), gibberellin (GA) and ethylene might be affected as well, as indicated from the microarray data (Figure 8, Table S1). Hormones are essential for many developmental processes, such as flowering and tuberization. For example, high levels of gibberellins inhibit tuber formation [275] and the amount of GA$_1$ decreases at the beginning of tuberization [12]. In contrast exogenous application of ABA induces tuber formation [12] probably via a cross talk between GA and ABA [102]. Auxin also plays an important role in regulation of tuber growth [276]. In cross talk with GA [277] it positively influences tuber formation. Auxin is transported form the apical meristem to the stolon, where Auxin levels increase during tuber initiation and remain at a high level [278]. Cytokininin, however, promotes sprouting and regulates dormancy together with GA [279]. CK increases the tuber number but reduces the tuber weight if applied externally [280]. In tomato overexpression of the cytokinin activating enzyme LOG1 in tomato induced aerial minituber formation in the axillary meristems [281].

Moreover, hormones can influence the activity of FTs [282,283]. GA for example was shown to enhance growth in parallel with FT2 in aspen [284].
As flowering and tuberization are closely related processes [87] and homologs of flowering genes enhance tuberization in potato [81] the transcriptional changes might introduce an altered meristem identity in SP6A^{cyc}::HA expressing tubers promoting formation of secondary tubers instead of shoot development. Whilst the exact mode of action remains still elusive, the findings strongly suggest that SP6A fosters sink development. These findings are further in compliance with previous results showing that FT proteins can control storage organ formation across a wide range of species such as swelling of turnip [285], growth of onion bulbs [286], pseudobulbs in orchids [287], and also tuberous root formation in Callerya speciosa [288]. In fact, those FT proteins which are thought to be involved in the control of storage organ development are rather conserved amongst many root, tuber or tuberous root crop species including potato (Solanum tuberosum), sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas), onion (Allium cepa), carot (Daucus carota), sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) and cassava (Manihot esculenta) [288]. Moreover, polypyrimidine tract-binding (PTB) proteins share high sequence homology which enables regulatory interactions with FT proteins [99].

From this point of view it becomes more obvious that the function of FT goes beyond flowering time control. Instead it seems that FT proteins play an important role in the regulation of developmental programs. FT homologs might control propagation and, if required, adjust vegetative and generative propagation strategies according to environmental conditions, in order to ensure the maximal growth for the mother plant whilst generating a maximum number of offspring.

In summary, in potato, SP6A is an important player in regulation of the source-sink-balance. Strong overexpression shifted this balance towards the tuber sink. Hence, a tight regulation of these developmental changes is required. For crop breeding purposes a better understanding of these processes is also essential.

5.1.2 Identification and biotechnological utilization of a small RNA regulating SP6A under elevated temperatures

SP6A is regulated at the transcriptional level via the CDF/CONSTANS module [78]. In this model, FKF1 and GI bind to CDF1 in leaves thus marking it for proteolytic degradation. Therefore CDF1 no longer inhibits CONSTANS (COL1) which activates SP5G, another FT family member in potato that represses SP6A and thus connects photoperiod to tuberization [78,82,98].

In this study, it was shown that SP6A is not only under transcriptional control but does further underlie posttranscriptional regulation by a small RNA, SES, which integrates developmental
as well as environmental signals. Many biological processes are regulated by miRNAs [289]. With regard to climate change miRNAs which are responsive to abiotic stress are of special interest and become a putative target for crop improvement [290–292]. In wheat several heat responsive miRNAs have been described [293]. Modulating the expression of miRNAs is thus another way of introducing changes in gene expression of crop plants that will improve yields.

Still, only few miRNAs are well studied in potato. Among them is miR172 promoting tuberization via targeting RAS-RELATED PROTEIN 1 (RAP1) and inducing BEL5 [101]. Tuberization can be repressed by miR156 through downregulation of SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING-LIKE (SPL) transcription factors [294–296]. However, no small RNA was shown so far to be involved in heat mediated repression of tuberization in potato. In Brachypodium distachyon, the Pooideae-specific miR5200 targeting FT has been described [297]. This miRNA is induced by short days, preventing FT accumulation and flowering under non-inductive conditions. To extend our knowledge it is of outstanding importance to gain deeper insights into the molecular mechanisms regulating tuberization, in particular SP6A, especially in order to ensure food supply even under challenging environmental conditions.

Here, the discovery of a new small RNA targeting SP6A is described. Motivated by the severe phenotypic changes of SP6A<sup>cop</sup>-HA plants, an in silico screening for putative small regulatory RNAs was performed, which led to the identification of a putative 19nt long small RNA called SES (Figure 11 and 12, Table S2). Up to now the detailed mechanism of SES maturation and processing is not clear and seeks further investigation. Especially the predicted length is unusual for a typical miRNA since 21 and 24 are more common [298]. However sizes can vary from 18 to 25 nt [299] and miRNAs of different length have also been found in potato [300]. These different lengths could be due to non-canonical processing pathways besides DICER [301], although little is known about the pathways in plants [302]. Nevertheless, the data obtained in this study strongly support the inhibition of SP6A via SES (Figures 13-18). This occurs most likely by transcript cleavage although additional translational repression cannot be ruled out. In transient assays using N. benthamiana it was found that SES is able to reduce the transcript amount of co-expressed SP6A (WT sequence) but not of codon-optimized SP6A<sup>cop</sup> (Figure 13). The assumption that this was due to sequence variation in the predicted SES binding site was verified by an experiment using SP6A<sup>mod</sup>. This sequence was only modified in the SES binding site and the amount of the corresponding mRNA was not affected by simultaneous expression of SES (Figure 14). Together these studies demonstrated the sequence specificity and molecular function of this small regulatory RNA to degrade the SP6A mRNA. Further it supports the assumption that
the codon optimized variant is a poor target for SES, which might cause the strong phenotype.

An in silico analysis of the genomes of closely related Solanaceae species such as Solanum lycopersicum, Solanum pennellii and Nicotiana benthamiana revealed that the genomic locus of SES was not present in these species (Figure 12, Table S3). This suggests that SES has evolved independently in potato to regulate specifically SP6A. Although SES targets an FT homologue and close homologues of SP6A are present in other Solanaceae, potato is the only one of these species that tuberizes. The fact that a tuberization specific gene is the target of SES could explain why this particular small RNA is not found in other Solanaceae. Recently sequences with more or less high similarity to the putative SES precursor RNA have been found widely distributed all over the potato genome, thus opening up a possible evolutionary path along which SES has evolved (personal communication. José M. Corral).

The expression level of SES turned out to be developmentally regulated and repressed in mature plants but induced by elevated temperatures. In contrast, SP6A levels are relatively low at the juvenile stage and increase further during tuberization (Figures 16 and 17). Thus, the expression pattern of SES implies that SP6A is regulated at the post-transcriptional level to adjust the source-to-sink balance depending on developmental and environmental signals. Consistent with this observation overexpression of a target mimicry sequence (STTM) promoted slightly earlier tuberization and preserved tuberization even under rather high temperatures (Figures 20-22). This allows two assumptions. First, in young plants the expression of SES might serve as an additional regulatory layer besides the well-studied CDF/CONSTANS module. When tuber initiation takes place SES expression drops drastically releasing this inhibitory signal on SP6A. As this alone cannot explain the further increase in SP6A levels more regulatory players must be involved. However, these additional regulators must be more important as the effect of SES on tuberization earliness is comparatively mild (Figure 21).

Second, elevated temperatures repress tuber induction and tuber growth, which correlates well with a decline in SP6A expression and was also observed during this thesis (Figures 17, 18 and 28). Although progress has been made in identifying SP6A interaction partners [87] and also heat adaptation mechanisms [142,303] a rather immediate causal agent for SP6A repression under heat has not been found yet. In this study, it could be shown that the small RNA SES is strongly induced under heat and contributes to the heat-mediated down-regulation of SP6A expression (Figures 17 and 18). A simplified model of the regulation of SP6A under ambient and elevated temperatures is shown in Figure 38.
Figure 38. Simplified model of regulation of SP6A under ambient and elevated temperatures. Under ambient conditions the phloemmobile signal SP6A is produced in the leaves and induces tuber formation in the stolons. Under elevated temperatures a small RNA is expressed in the leaves, which inhibits SP6A. Therefore tuberization cannot occur. Numerous other regulators not shown here additionally act in concert to adjust tuberization according to photoperiod, development and temperature.

As a likely cause for the heat induction of SES two possible heat shock factor (HSF) binding sites [256–258] were identified less than 2 kb upstream of the SES transcript (Figure 19, Table S4) providing interesting clues for further investigation. The biological function needs to be verified via β-glucuronidase or luciferase reporter gene assays. A co-infiltration with the putatively interacting heat shock proteins would be another strategy to clarify their function. Apart from an activation of SES transcription under heat is also possible that expression of SES is repressed under cool and ambient temperatures and the repressor is released under higher temperatures.

The role of SES in the heat-mediated down-regulation of SP6A was confirmed by overexpression of a target mimicry construct (STTM), resulting in two- to four-fold higher SP6A transcript levels under heat (Figures 20-22). Most importantly, these transgenic plants formed tubers also at elevated temperatures that prevented the formation of stolons and tubers in the commercial variety "Solara" (Figure 22). Thus, expression of the STTM construct can overcome heat-mediated down-regulation of SP6A expression. The results
make small RNAs once more an interesting target for abiotic stress tolerance [291,292,304,305]. Various sequencing approaches have been carried out in the past to identify microRNAs in potato, also with respect to stress responses [306–308]. The approach described here provides an additional strategy for the improvement of the potato crop.

Since the origin of the potato lies in the highlands of Southern Peru, one could assume that the modulation of tuberization according to temperature could have been a selective advantage for the first potato plants. The control of SP6A by SES is most likely an evolutionary rather old regulatory mechanism that controls the transition from the vegetative to the generative stage and, as a result, influences the balance between source and sink. This might work as follows. If no large differences in photoperiod can be perceived, the regulation of tuberization is likely to depend on temperature changes. As long as the temperature is high, SES expression prevents tuberization and promotes shoot growth. This allows a maximum of carbon assimilation and optimal aboveground biomass production. Falling temperatures indicative of an ending vegetation period terminate SES expression and induce SP6A expression enabling tuberization. At the same time, senescence is induced, mobilizing resources from the shoot to the developing tubers. This allows maximum tuber yield under natural conditions and habitats. During the development of potato plants, the temperature-dependent adaptation of the transition from vegetative to generative growth was advantageous, as it enabled the optimal use of the growing season and the generation of an increasing number of offspring. In the context of global warming, this benefit is becoming a major handicap that limits potato production to temperate and cool climates. Overcoming this ancient mechanism will allow the breeding of heat-tolerant potato varieties, which would be an important contribution to secure future food production.
5.2 Combination of several genes as promising tool for stress tolerant crop plants

5.2.1 Overexpression of HXK+SP6A improves water use efficiency

In the near future global average temperatures are expected to rise further, since unfortunately current efforts to limit global warming to 1.5-2.0 °C above preindustrial times are insufficient. Thus, in order to secure food production designing crop plants which can cope with abiotic stress is of special importance [2,15,131,151,309]. In potato, a preservation of tuberization which is unaffected by heat is essential. Additionally, heat is often accompanied by drought, especially in tepid climate. Therefore, reduction of transpiration is a desirable trait. For this purpose, transgenic potato plants expressing two transgenes, \( \text{AtHXK} \) of Arabidopsis and \( \text{SP6A} \) of potato, called HXK+SP6A, have been developed and tested for improved stress tolerance towards heat, drought and combined stress conditions.

Up to now only few studies have shown an increased tuber yield under drought or heat conditions. For example repression of TOC1 could preserve tuber yield under elevated temperature presumably via feedback on SP6A [310]. Overexpression of a particular allele of Hsc70 increased heat tolerance by preventing proteins from misfolding [142]. Enhanced drought tolerance was achieved by overexpression of a MYB or a bZIP transcription factor through reduced stomatal aperture or accelerated closure, thus increasing the survival rate after drought stress and preserving tuber yields under drought [311,312]. Overexpression of the potato annexin STANN1 could also promote drought tolerance by interfering with photoprotection and thus overcomes drought mediated reduction in photosynthetic rates [313]. So far an improved yield under combined stress has not been reported yet.

Throughout the history of plant cultivation water consumption of crops has always been an important issue. Although potato plants are relatively efficient in water use efficiency compared to other crops, they are still vulnerable to drought periods. For example, drought leads to a reduction in plant growth, tuber number and tuber size [181–185] and thus depicts an agricultural problem. Decreased transpiration rates via modification of the stomata could improve water usage. A stoma consists of two symplasmically isolated cells referred to as guard cells and neighboring cells. High turgor pressure as a result of high water availability makes the guard cells bend in a C-like shape thus opening a gap in between enabling gas exchange from the atmosphere into the intercellular space in the mesophyll. In case of drought stress the guard cell turgor decreases leading to a collapsed cell shape thus closing.

the stoma. Heat leads to stomatal opening whereas combined heat and drought stress close the stomata [314,315].

For many years it was thought that sugars can control stomatal opening via affecting the osmotic potential [209]. This is in line with the findings that a decreased beta-amylase (BAM) activity in stomata confers drought avoidance in A. thaliana by stomatal closure which might occur via reduced soluble sugar contents from inhibited starch breakdown in the stomata [196,316].

Recently, AtHXK1 was shown to reduce transpiration when expressed in guard cells (by means of the KST1 promoter) of A. thaliana, citrus or tomato without a negative effect on plant growth and CO$_2$ assimilation [205,206,263]. Although the molecular mechanism is not fully understood yet, it is believed that AtHXK1 controls the stomatal aperture to coordinate transpiration with photosynthesis via sugar signaling pathways [208,209]. AtHXK1 was shown previously to act as a sugar sensor [317]. It is assumed that an excess of sugar, which is not transported by the phloem during high photosynthetic activity, is transported with the transpiration stream in the direction of the guard cells, where it serves as a signal to close the stomata and thereby prevent unnecessary water loss [205,206,209]. Thus it might be also possible that sugars do not acts as osmolytes but rather as signaling molecules [209].

Here, potato plants which express construct provide clear evidence that the desired effect can also be seen in the crop plant potato under control conditions, as they exhibit reduced transpiration rates (Figure 23) due to reduced stomatal aperture (Figure 24). This increased water use efficiency significantly. Although CO$_2$ assimilation per area was slightly affected in the combined approach with SP6A, a bushy growth phenotype with more (smaller) leaves, probably caused by overexpression of SP6A, might compensate for this (Figure 25).

A common drought avoidance strategy of plants is to enlarge the root system in order to exploit water resources in the surrounding soil. The enhanced root growth that was detected in the HXK+SP6A plants (Figure 36) could on the one hand be due to a better nourishment of root sink tissue with assimilates, since AtHXK1 is thought to improve the water stream through the plant and thus might improve assimilate partitioning and root growth [263]. It can also be speculated that reduced water transpiration somehow pretends drought stress for the plant which may stimulate increased root growth. The shift in total biomass accumulation from shoot towards root might prepare HXK+SP6A expressing potato better for upcoming dry seasons and thus result in an advantage under field conditions. Hence, one strategy for enhancing the tolerance towards abiotic stress could be the modification of overall plant architecture.
The most common reaction to drought within land plants is closure of the stomata [318]. Therefore, plants that possess a lower stomatal conductivity could have an advantage under limited water availability. Under drought stress the HXK+SP6A expressing lines exhibited a lower transpiration rate compared to WT plants (Figure 26), even though it decreased in both genotypes. The same effect was observed under combined heat and drought stress, whereas treatment with heat alone resulted in an increased transpiration rate as described previously [136,152]. Decreased stomatal conductance therefore increased the tolerance towards drought stress.

The increased drought tolerance in the transgenic lines was verified by measurements of the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) (Figure 31a). As expected ABA contents were increased in the WT under drought conditions but to a lesser extent in the transgenics. This implies that the WT plants have consumed more water and therefore feel drought stress whereas reduced water consumption in the HXK+SP6A plants seems to save resources and thus reduces water stress.

Under drought stress plants phytohormones trigger the accumulation of osmolytes [319]. One well-known osmolyte increased under drought is the amino acid proline [320,321], which is like all osmolytes electrically neutral at a physiological pH. Proline can be synthesized from the amino acid glutamate. The enzyme that catalyzes the initial reaction is the Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS1), which uses glutamic semialdehyde (GSA) as a substrate [322,323]. After pyrroline-5-carboxylate is formed spontaneously, this is reduced to proline. P5CS1 was shown to be drought and ABA responsive [323]. Measurements of proline as well as qPCR of the ABA responsive drought marker gene P5CS1 indicated that drought stress was less severe for the transgenics (Figure 31 b, c). However, the HXK+SP6A plants were still able to react to changing environmental conditions and adapt evaporation under drought and heat. This was confirmed by means of an ABA floating assay, where P5CS1 reacted similarly in all plants (Figure 31 d).

In summary this means that HXK+SP6A plants still need to be adequately supplied with water, but due to lower evaporation rates probably can cope with less water. Under field conditions they probably could endure longer periods of rain absences than WT plants without being severe drought stressed. The reduced transpiration is most likely due to the KST::AtHXK1 construct as it fits very well the observations in Arabidopsis, citrus and tomato. However, the contribution of SP6A overexpression to morphological changes like the improved root growth which putatively mediates drought avoidance is unclear.
Overexpression of *AtHX1* could lead to a significant yield increase and thus have an agricultural relevance, especially for those crops that are not adapted to drought conditions. Some crop plants might consume more water than is required for high CO₂ assimilation rates because drought is unlikely to occur at their place of origin, or breeding for efficient water usage may not be advanced enough or rather difficult.

In fact, in those plants a reduction of stomatal conductance does not necessarily have a negative effect on CO₂ assimilation but will reduce water consumption. In line with this, mild occlusion of the stomata, as achieved by *AtHXK1*, has a greater impact on water loss than on CO₂ assimilation. A similar situation was observed with WT plants when drought was applied, indicating that potato plants normally consume more water than needed to maintain CO₂ fixation.

In further studies it would be interesting to examine whether and how fast stomata of *AtHXK1* expressors react to other treatments for example biotic stress caused by fungi and bacteria infection. In tobacco *NtHXK1* was shown to have dual functions: a catalytic one and a glucose sensing one [324]. Since the exact mode of action of *AtHXK1* in stomata is still not resolved, one could create transgenic potato plants overexpressing a catalytic inactive form of *AtHXK1* which is not impaired in sensing in order to distinguish between catalytic and sugar sensing function. Together with *AtHXK1* single transformants this could provide a deeper understanding of functionality and biotechnological relevance of this enzyme.

**5.2.2 Overexpression of HXK+SP6A preserves tuber yield under abiotic stress tolerance**

**5.2.2.1 SP6A might be the main determinant of high tuber yields under abiotic stress**

One aim of this thesis was to improve tuber yields. Therefore, the FLOWERING LOCUS T-homologue *SP6A* was chosen for overexpression because it has been identified as a positive key regulator of tuberization [81]. Previous experiments with *SP6A*_{cop-HA} plants described in this thesis have verified its function.

Downregulation of *SP6A* expression is considered to be the cause of the heat-mediated inhibition of tuber formation [136,152]. This is mediated by both transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation by a small RNA (*SES*) as shown in this thesis [325]. Expression of a mimicry construct (*STTM*) to sequester *SES* maintained *SP6A* expression and facilitated tuber formation under heat conditions.
For the co-expression with \( \text{AtHXK1} \) a wild-type \( \text{SP6A} \) was overexpressed from the \( \text{StLS1} \) promoter [261]. This also resulted in elevated \( \text{SP6A} \) levels and stimulated tuber formation under control conditions. By using the \( \text{StLS1} \) promoter, \( \text{SP6A} \) levels were only moderately increased (5 to 7-fold). Although the \( \text{HXK}+\text{SP6A} \) plants were smaller and accumulated less aboveground biomass, the development of the shoot was not as strongly affected compared to the previous approach using a codon-optimized \( \text{SP6A} \) version under control of the \( \text{35S} \) promoter (Figures 4 and 23). The accessibility for posttranscriptional control might also contribute to a rather controlled overexpression. The number of tubers and the yield increased significantly in \( \text{HXK}+\text{SP6A} \) plants and was stable under drought, heat and combined stress, which is most likely mediated by overexpression of \( \text{SP6A} \). In contrast tuber number and tuber yield were clearly decreased in the WT under all three stress conditions.

While heat strongly represses \( \text{SP6A} \) expression [136], limited data is available on how drought affects \( \text{SP6A} \) expression. Data of this study suggest that drought also suppresses \( \text{SP6A} \) expression levels (by approximately 40%), although less pronounced than heat treatment (approximately 65%). Concurrent exposure to both stressors caused the strongest (80%) reduction in \( \text{SP6A} \) mRNA levels (Figure 28a). Remarkably, the yield reduction correlated well with \( \text{SP6A} \) expression levels (Figure 28b). This is in compliance with current knowledge and strongly suggests that yield stabilization under stress is controlled to a large extent by \( \text{SP6A} \). Therefore, also in commercial cultivars a high level of \( \text{SP6A} \) expression in both drought and heat might be crucial for maintaining a high potato tuber yield under these circumstances.

However, the causal agent for downregulation of \( \text{SP6A} \) under drought conditions has not been identified yet. The small RNA inhibiting \( \text{SP6A} \) under heat can be excluded as it might be even downregulated under drought (Figure 29a). It is likely that other close partners upstream, like small RNAs which have not been identified, target \( \text{SP6A} \) or interaction partners. The involvement of miRNAs in drought responses in crop plants, including potato, is already known but poorly understood [292,308,326]. As another possibility the members of the \( \text{CDF/CO} \) pathway were taken into consideration, since they are known to regulate \( \text{SP6A} \). Neither for \( \text{CDF} \) nor for the \( \text{CONSTANS} \) homologues \( \text{COL1} \) or \( \text{COL2} \) changes in transcript amount under drought could be detected (Figure 29b, c, d). Therefore, if these regulators play a role at all, it is more likely to occur on protein level via posttranslational inhibition such as phosphorylation or protein degradation, which requires deeper analysis.

Overexpression of \( \text{SP6A} \) could overcome its transcriptional stress-related repression. Accordingly, tuber fresh weight and tuber number of transgenic \( \text{HXK}+\text{SP6A} \) plants were less affected by stress conditions than in the WT (Figure 27). However, the expression of \( \text{SP6A} \)
also fell under stress in some lines, which could be due to regulatory mechanisms. Anyhow, the residual SP6A expression was still higher than the WT under well-watered control conditions and therefore apparently sufficient to maintain tuberization and further promote assimilate translocation into growing tubers (Figure 28a). In accordance with this hypothesis, the starch content of the tubers was largely maintained under single and double stress while it decreased with the severity of stress in the WT (Figure 30). As a probable cause for that, the sustained SUSY activity in the tuber parenchyma of HXK+SP6A tubers was identified (Figure 37a). At the same time, the cwlnv activity was induced by heat in the WT (Figure 37b), which implies a lower sucrose supply for the tuber under those conditions. Overexpression of SUSY in potato tubers increased starch contents and total tuber yield and in thus in line with the results described here [169]. Overexpression of SP6A like in the HXK+SP6A plants seems to achieve the same effect indirectly.

5.2.2.2 Overexpression of HXK+SP6A promotes branching

Potato plants overexpressing HXK+SP6A overexpressors exhibit a phenotype with massive branching of stolons but also of the shoot especially from basal nodes (Figure 32). Currently, an involvement of branching promoting genes in causing the branched phenotype cannot be excluded yet [327,328]. Overexpression of the TEOSINTE BRANCHED1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF (TCP) transcription factor BRANCHED1 (BRC1) in potato led to a phenotype which is rather similar to the one of HXK+SP6A overexpressors concerning the high tuber number [329]. In fact, BRC1 can regulate axillary bud outgrowth via homeodomain leucine zipper protein (HD-ZIP)-transcription factors [330]. In Arabidopsis BRC1 interacts with FT [331], therefore a link between these genes also in potato is not unlikely and needs to be unravelled.

5.2.2.3 Regulation of sugar partitioning might be controlled by SP6A

Another function of SP6A besides tuber initiation might be to determine plant habitus. SP6A seems to regulate carbon fluxes through the plant and thus further contribute to storage organ development. As the shoot phenotype of HXK+SP6A overexpressing plants indicates, less carbon might be available in these transgenic plants to supply shoot growth, pigment synthesis and axillary bud outgrowth (Figure 32, 33). Sugar measurements indicate that less sucrose is leaking into the stem (Figure 34). Further, esculin loading experiments suggest that the phloem in HXK+SP6A overexpressors is sealed better from the surrounding stem tissue than the WT and thus increases transport efficiency towards the tuber sink (Figure 35). The molecular reason behind it could be the interaction of SP6A with SWEET11 which might prevent sucrose leakage out of the phloem [93]. A simplified schematic model is depicted in Figure 39. SWEET proteins were originally identified as sucrose efflux carriers.
DISCUSSION

transporting sucrose out of the mesophyll cells [26]. The finding of this missing transporter has therefore gained general approval amongst plants physiologists as it completed the understanding of the apoplastic phloem loading pathway [332,333]. During tuber development, the type of sucrose unloading changes from the apoplastic to the symplasmic route [334], thus reducing the sugar export in the stem or tuber apoplast would be desirable.

In order to improve tuber starch contents, a deeper understanding of the obviously improved sucrose partitioning processes in HXK+SP6A potato plants would be beneficial. Two different mechanisms might serve as a possible explanation. On the one hand the interaction of SP6A with SWEET11 might prevent the leakage of sucrose into the apoplast. This would be true if SWEET11 is localized at the phloem companion cells acting as a sucrose exporter. Indeed apoplastic sugar levels of stems are decreased in SWEET11-RNAi plants but increased in 35S::SWEET11 plants. Concurrent transformation of the latter with SUC2::SP6A plants could again lead to decreased sucrose levels [93]. However, if this model would be correct expression of SP6A under the companion cell specific SUC2 promoter or the rolC [335] promoter should give a similar tuberization phenotype as in HXK+SP6A, but this has not been reported [93]. Therefore it might on the other hand be also possible that the export of sucrose is indeed mediated by sucrose exporters for example from the SUC or SUT family. In WT stems sucrose could then be loaded into the apoplast but it is probably immediately taken up by SWEETs in phloem parenchyma cells to be used for tissue makeup or other processes. Due to continuous afterflow this would in the end reduce sucrose transport through the phloem. If SP6A inhibits SWEET in the phloem parenchyma cells, this would first increase sugar levels in the apoplast leading to a feedback inhibition on the unknown sucrose exporter and consequently leave more sucrose in the phloem.
Figure 39. Schematic model of SWEET11-SP6A mediated rerouting of assimilate transport. (a) In the WT, especially under heat conditions, SP6A levels are low. Thus SWEET11 proteins are not inhibited and are transporting sucrose coming from the source leaves out of the phloem into the apoplast where it is degraded by cwInv. In the tuber less sucrose is available as a substrate for starch biosynthesis via SUSY (b) In SP6A overexpressing potato plants, or under ambient temperatures, SP6A levels are high. In this case, many SWEET11 transporters are inhibited by SP6A. This reduces the sucrose leakage and more sucrose is left in the phloem. Finally, more sucrose can be converted into starch, thus increasing the starch content in the tuber.

However, it remains elusive why obviously phloem loading in the leaves is not or not tremendously affected [93] and still functioning to an extent which provides enough assimilates for tuber formation. If it is always the same SWEET protein which is inhibited by SP6A one explanation might be a tissue/cell specific expression or phosphorylation differences influencing the interaction possibilities. A similar occasion has been described for the potassium channel AKT2 of Arabidopsis. This transporter changes its properties based on its phosphorylation status [50]. AKT2 is needed to maintain the proton motive force (PMF) required for sucrose reloading into the phloem under low oxygen conditions [336–338].

Either way, the phenotypic data of the HXK+SP6A overexpressors, including shoot morphology and overall plant habitus (Figure 32), together with improved tuber yield (Figure 27) and enhanced root growth (Figure 36) suggest that more sugars might reach the root and tuber system. This is indicated by root to shoot ratios and harvest indices In fact, from
the shoot morphology it appears that less carbon is fed into the development of aboveground biomass tissues such as stems and the outgrowth of axillary buds. This is further supported by esculin loading experiments (Figure 35) revealing that in the transgenics more esculin is visible in the stems even several nodia rootwards of the loaded leaf. In the WT esculin was only hardly detected up to one nodium rootwards. This indicates a diluting effect in the WT stem, due to a loss of carbon in tissues besides the main transport route. Although the experiment was repeated and similar results were obtained in several stems, quantification of the amount of loaded esculin is difficult. Therefore additional experiments should performed for example loading experiments with dyes that cannot be exported by sucrose transporters for example 5-Carboxy-fluoresceindiacetate-acetoxy methaneester (CFDA) [339,340].

Consequently, for increased assimilate supply of storage organs the transport path between the most prominent source organs, the leaves, and the sink organs, the tubers, should also be taken into account. Together, the results obtained further support the assumption that SP6A plays a major role in development of potato tubers through determination of meristem identity and additionally maintenance of tuber sink strength by a targeted direction of assimilate flow. In this study it could be demonstrated how modulation of sugar partitioning might influence source sink balance and thus can be used in a strategy for crop improvement. In previous studies assimilate transport rates were found to be critical for high tuber yield under heat in a comparison of different cultivars [341].

The enhanced root growth observed in HXK+SP6A plants for example could, beyond the reduced transpiration rates, further contribute to improved drought tolerance as water of the soil can be exploited more efficiently. Morphologic alterations of the potato root system under drought have been described before as a mean to cope better with limited water resources [211,342,343]. Moreover, a selection of early tuberizing cultivars might preserve tuber yield, since earliness of tuberization is often correlated with yield stability [344]. Obviously potato plants are most susceptible for stress during this developmental transition stage [345,346]. Since SP6A overexpressing plants tuberize earlier, this might help in addition to avoid yield reductions mediated by late drought in the field.

Taken together, the findings of this study provide a functional link between photoperiodic control and assimilate allocation into developing tubers. Especially under heat the repression of assimilate leakage by high amounts of SP6A protein could be beneficial for tuber yield since potato tends to increase green biomass under these circumstances. Thus the combination of both genes enabled high yield stability in heat, drought, and combined stress. Since both heat and drought affect photosynthetic carbon fixation, one step to further
enhance potato stress resistance could be to combine various approaches to increase CO$_2$ fixation by simultaneously maintaining the water status of the plants and preserving assimilate allocation to the tubers. It has already been stated that boosting source as well as sink capacity can double the starch yield of potato tubers [65]. In general, a combination of different genes is a promising instrument for the generation of crop plants which are able to secure human nutrition regardless of stress conditions due to climate change.
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7 Supplementary data

7.1 Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Phenotype of HXK+SP6A potato plants. Shoot and tuber phenotype under four different growth conditions 7 weeks after planting; scale bar 20cm.
Figure S2. Yield data for HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Plant height (b) Green biomass (c) Yield (d) Stolon number (e) Tuber number (f) Harvest index; data form experiment 2, plants were harvested at the age of 7 weeks; values are the mean of 4 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05). Data from experiment 2.
Figure S2. Yield data for HXK+SP6A potato plants. (a) Plant height (b) Green biomass (c) Yield (d) Stolon number (e) Tuber number (f) Harvest index; data form experiment 2, plants were harvested at the age of 7 weeks; values are the mean of 4 plants ± SD, Significance compared to WT was determined by two-tailed t-test (*; p-value < 0.05). Data from experiment 3.
7.2 Supplementary tables

Table S1. Differentially expressed transcripts in dormant buds of SP6A<sup>cop-HA</sup> overexpressing tubers. (a) 677 transcripts showed significant (p<0.05) different expression in at least one transgenic line as compared to WT (b) 437 transcripts were regulated in the same manner in all three transgenic lines (c) 245 transcripts were regulated in the same manner in all three transgenic lines and filtered for a log<sub>2</sub> fold change ≥ 0.5 or ≤ -0.5.

(from Lehretz et al., 2019)

Table S2. BLAST search for putative small RNAs cutting the SP6A transcript. For each one of the four identified cut sites 10 nucleotides up and downstream of the identified cut site were combined to a 20nt fragment, which was used for a blastn search. Additionally, these 20 nt were shifted 1 or 2 nt up and downstream, respectively, and also used for a BLASTn search. Each blast hit was aligned with the SP6A transcript.

(from Lehretz et al., 2019)

Table S3. BLAST results for SES homologs in other Solanaceae. The presence of the SES locus was verified by BLAST search in

(from Lehretz et al., 2019)

Table S4. Transcription factor prediction for SES promoter

(from Lehretz et al., 2019)
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